# NORTHVILLE DOWNS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

NORTHVILLE, MICHIGAN

**DECEMBER 14, 2021** 

#### PREPARED BY:



27725 STANSBURY BLVD., SUITE 195 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334

#### **Notice and Disclaimer**

This document is provided by Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc. for informational purposes only. No changes or revisions may be made to the information presented in the document without the express consent of Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc. The information contained in this document is as accurate and complete as reasonably possible. Should you find any errors or inconsistencies, we would be grateful if you could bring them to our attention.

The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed herein are those of Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc. and do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the City of Northville or the Wayne County Department of Public Services, which makes no warranty, either implied or expressed, for the information contained in this document; neither does it assume legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of this information. Any products, manufacturers or trademarks referenced in this document are used solely for reference purposes.



I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Michigan.

Agency Review	Date	Comments



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

E	XECUTI	IVE SUMMARY	1
	TRIP GEI	OUND DATA  NERATION  P DISTRIBUTION  IONAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY	2 3
1	INTR	ODUCTION	3
2	BAC	KGROUND DATA	4
	2.1	STUDY ROADWAY NETWORK	4
	2.2	TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA	10
3	EXIS	TING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS (2021)	11
	3.1	SCENARIO 1 - BASELINE OPERATIONS (PRE-COVID)	11
		SCENARIO 2 – MAIN ST. & CENTER ST. CLOSED	
		SCENARIO 3 - MAIN ST. CLOSED ONLY	
	-	EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY	
	3.4.1		
	3.4.2 3.4.3		
	3.4.4		
4	BAC	KGROUND CONDITIONS ANALYSIS (2028)	
•		Scenario 1 - Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)	
		SCENARIO 2 - MAIN ST. & CENTER ST. CLOSED	
		SCENARIO 3 - MAIN ST. CLOSED ONLY	
	4.4 E	BACKGROUND CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY	23
5	SITE	TRIP GENERATION	30
6	SITE	TRIP DISTRIBUTION	31
7	FUTI	JRE CONDITIONS (2028)	32
		SCENARIO 1 - BASELINE OPERATIONS (PRE-COVID)	
		Scenario 2 - Main St. & Center St. Closed	
		SCENARIO 3 - MAIN ST. CLOSED ONLY	
	7.4 F	FUTURE CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY	32
8	CON	CLUSIONS	41
9	REC	OMMENDATIONS	42



## LIST OF TABLES

Table E1: Trip Generation Summary	3
Table E2: Analysis and Mitigation Summary	4
Table 3.1: Existing Conditions Analysis Summary	13
Table 3.2: Existing Intersection Mitigation Summary	16
Table 3.3: Existing Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary	17
Table 3.4: Scenario 1- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)	20
Table 3.5: Scenario 2- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)	20
Table 3.6: Scenario 3- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)	21
Table 4.1: Background Conditions Analysis Summary	23
Table 4.2: Background Intersection Mitigation Summary	26
Table 4.3: Background Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary	27
Table 4.4: Scenario 1 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)	28
Table 4.5: Scenario 2 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)	28
Table 4.6: Scenario 3 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)	29
Table 5.1: Trip Generation Summary	30
Table 6.1: Site Generated Traffic Distribution	31
Table 7.1: Future Conditions Analysis Summary	32
Table 7.2: Future Intersection Mitigation Summary	37
Table 7.3: Future Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary	38
Table 7.4: Scenario 1 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)	39
Table 7.5: Scenario 2 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)	39
Table 7.6: Scenario 3 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)	40
Table 8.1: Analysis and Mitigation Summary	42



#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE E1: SITE LOCATION	
FIGURE E2: INTERSECTION MITIGATION SUMMARY	5
FIGURE 1: SITE LOCATION	3
FIGURE 9: INTERSECTION MITIGATION SUMMARY	44
FIGURE 2.1: LANE USE AND TRAFFIC CONTROL	Α
FIGURE 3.1: SCENARIO 1-EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	E
FIGURE 3.2: SCENARIO 2-EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	E
FIGURE 3.3: SCENARIO 3-EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	E
FIGURE 4.1: SCENARIO 1-BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	C
FIGURE 4.2: SCENARIO 2- BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	C
FIGURE 4.3: SCENARIO 3- BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	C
FIGURE 6.1: SCENARIO 1-SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	C
FIGURE 6.2: SCENARIO 2- SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
FIGURE 6.3: SCENARIO 3- SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	C
FIGURE 7.1: SCENARIO 1-BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	Г

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- B. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
- C. BACKGROUND (2028) TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
- D. FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

#### REFERENCES

- AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS (AASHTO). (2018). A POLICY ON GEOMETRIC DESIGN OF HIGHWAYS AND STREETS. WASHINGTON DC.
- FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORATION, MICHIGAN STATE POLICE. (2011). MICHIGAN MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES.
- INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS. (2021). TRIP GENERATION MANUAL, 11TH EDITION. WASHINGTON DC.
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (U.S.) TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD. (2000). HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL, 4TH EDITION (HCM 2000). WASHINGTON, D.C.: TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD.
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (U.S.) TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD. (2016). HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL, 6TH EDITION (HCM6). WASHINGTON, D.C.: TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD.
- PAPACOSTAS, & PREVEDOUROS. (2001). TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING AND PLANNING.
- STOVER, V. G., & KOEPKE, F. J. (2006). *Transportation and Land Development* (Vol. 2nd Edition). Washington DC: Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report presents the results of a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed development in the City of Northville, Michigan. The project site is located generally in the northeast quadrant of the Center Street and Hines Drive/Seven Mile Road intersection on the property that is currently occupied by Northville Downs, as shown on **Figure E1**. The proposed development includes the construction of mixed-use, with various residential unit types and commercial. The development includes site access to Cady Street, Griswold Street, Beal Street, Fairbrook Street, and Center Street.




FIGURE E1: SITE LOCATION

The scope of this study was developed based on Fleis & VandenBrink's (F&V) knowledge of the study area, understanding of the development program, accepted traffic engineering practice and information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). In addition, the City of Northville and their traffic engineering consultant OHM and planning consultant Carlisle Wortman provided input regarding the scope of work included herein. The study includes the evaluation of three (3) scenarios which are summarized below



Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)

- Pre-COVID 2018 traffic volumes grown to 2021
- Pre-COVID traffic operations



Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed

- 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes Collected
- COVID Impacts and Road Closures



Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only

- 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes, adjusted to account for Center Street open
- COVID Impacts and Road Closure



#### **BACKGROUND DATA**

- Traffic volume data was collected at the study intersections by F&V subconsultants Traffic Data Collection Inc. (TDC) on May 15, 2018, and October 18, 2018, and by Gewalt Hamilton Associates, Inc (GHA) on October 19, 2021, during the weekday AM (7:00 AM-9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM-6:00 PM) peak periods.
- The analysis includes the evaluation of 28 off-site intersections in the City of Northville adjacent to the project site and six (6) new site driveway intersections for a total of 34 study intersection.
- An annual 0.2% background growth was determined from SEMCOG data to calculate the projected implicit background traffic growth to the site buildout year in 2028.
- In addition to background growth, the following developments were identified by the City of Northville to include as background traffic: Cady Project – 6-unit condominium (South side of Cady Street, east of Center Street), 355 E. Cady St. - 3-story mixed-use building; first floor Retail, office above, 455 E. Cady St "Hanger Building"- office space, and Foundry Flask – 78 Multi-Family Units, corner store specialty market.

#### **TRIP GENERATION**

The proposed development includes single family, attached housing, multi-family units and commercial uses. The following ITE Trip Generation Manual land uses were determined to be the best fit for the proposed development.

#### Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)

• A single-family detached housing site includes any single-family detached home on an individual lot.

#### Single-Family Attached Housing (LUC 215)

Single-family attached housing includes any single-family housing unit that shares a wall with an
adjoining dwelling unit, whether the walls are for living space, a vehicle garage, or storage space.
 Includes duplexes and townhouses/rowhouses, joined side-by-side in a row and each with an outside
entrance.

#### Mid-Rise Multi-Family Home (LUC 221)

• Mid-rise multifamily housing includes apartments and condominiums located in a building that has between four and 10 floors of living space. Access to individual dwelling units is through an outside building entrance, a lobby, elevator, and a set of hallways.

#### Strip Retail Plaza <40k SF (LUC 822)

• A strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. Each study site in this land use has less than 40,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA).



The number of AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development was forecast based on data published by ITE in the *Trip Generation Manual*, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

Average AM Peak Hour (vph) PM Peak Hour (vph) ITE **Daily Traffic** Out Total In Out Total In **Land Use** Code **Amount Units** (vpd) Single-Family Detached Housing DU Single-Family Attached Housing DU 1,923 Multi-Family Home (Mid-Rise) DU **Total Trips** 3.131 Internal Capture **New Trips** 2,941 17.374 Strip Retail Plaza (<40k SF) SF Internal Capture Pass-By (34%) **New Trips** 4,094 **Total Trips** Total Internal Capture Total Pass-By **Total New Trips** 3,387 

**Table E1: Trip Generation Summary** 

#### SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION

- The vehicular trips that would be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the study roads based on existing peak hour traffic patterns in the adjacent roadway network and the methodologies published by ITE.
- The global trip generation is based on trips in the AM going from the residential development exiting the study network and returning to the study network in the PM. The vehicular traffic volumes were distributed to the roadway network according to the global traffic distribution.
- The proposed development plan has multiple site access points to the adjacent roadway network; therefore, the impact of the development is dispersed throughout the area study intersections. Additionally, the trips were routed to the roadway network based on the available roadway connectively associated with each of the roadway scenarios.

#### **OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

- All of the study intersections generally operate well with all Scenarios, with a few exceptions as noted below.
- The recommended improvements identified for existing and background conditions were found to mitigate the future intersection delays at the study intersections with the additional of the site generated traffic volumes.
- The additional delays and mitigation measures noted for Background conditions are highlighted in green and additional delays from Future conditions are highlighted below in blue. No mitigation measures are recommended.
- No additional mitigation measures were identified with the additional site generated traffic in the Future conditions.
- The mitigations are generally the same across all evaluation scenarios. The operations and recommendations are summarized in **Table E.2** and shown on **Figure E.2**




**Table E2: Analysis and Mitigation Summary** 

			ina mitigation oaiminary	
	Intersection	Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID)	Scenario #2 (Both Closed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)
2	Randolph Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
8	Main Street & Hutton Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended		n/a
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	nmended
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
21	Fairbrook Street & Center Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.
22	Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence	operations. Queue lengths w able to find g	ations indicates acceptable ere minimal and vehicles were gaps in traffic.	n/a
23	Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500- ft of storage length. is recommended.	n/a	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500- ft of storage length. is recommended.
24	Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive	Delays on the NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.	n/a	Delays on the WB and NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.
26/ 27	Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road	Delays for WB Stop co	Signal Recommended ntrol approach, northbound left-t	urn sight distance limitations.
28	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	nmended
32	Center Street & Proposed Beal Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	n/a



FIGURE E2: INTERSECTION MITIGATION SUMMARY





#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The results of the traffic study showed that **Scenario 2: Main St. & Center St. Closed** is the preferred roadway operations. The closures have reduced the volume of through traffic in the City of Northville generated from adjacent communities. However, the rerouting of traffic has impacted several intersections, therefore mitigation measures are recommended to accommodate those traffic volumes. The recommended mitigation measures below will improve the existing operations with Scenario 2 and will accommodate the additional site generated traffic volumes at site buildout in 2028. The results of the traffic improvements for Scenario 2 are summarized below.

## Scenario 2: Main St. & Center St. Closed

## Randolph Street & Center Street

All Way Stop

# Main Street & Griswold Street

 Signal Timing Optimization

## Cady Street & Center Street

All Way Stop

## Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road

 New Traffic Signal

## Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road

 Signal Timing Optimization



#### 1 Introduction

This report presents the results of a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed development in the City of Northville, Michigan. The project site is located adjacent to the south side of Cady Street, between Center Street and Griswold Street on the property that was previously occupied by Northville Downs as shown on **Figure 1**. The proposed development includes the construction of mixed-use commercial and multi-family residential units. The City has required a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the project as part of the site plan approval process.



The scope of this study was developed based on Fleis & VandenBrink's (F&V) knowledge of the study area, understanding of the development program, accepted traffic engineering practice and information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). In addition, the City of Northville and their traffic engineering consultant OHM and planning consultant Carlisle Wortman provided input regarding the scope of work included herein.

The study analyses were completed using Synchro/SimTraffic (Version 11) and Rodel traffic analysis software Sources of data for this study include traffic counts conducted by F&V subconsultants Traffic Data Collection, Inc. (TDC) and Gewalt Hamilton Associates (GHA), City of Northville, Wayne County Department of Public Services (WCDPS), and ITE. All background information is provided in **Appendix A**.

The study will include the evaluation of three (3) scenarios which are summarized in the table below with the corresponding Section of this report.

	Scenario 1  Baseline Operations	Scenario 2  Main St. & Center St.	Scenario 3			
	(Pre-COVID)	Closed	Main St. Closed Only			
Section 3	Section 3.1	Section 3.2	Section 3.2			
Existing Conditions	Pre-COVID 2018 traffic volumes grown to 2021	2021 Existing Traffic Volumes	2021 Existing Traffic Volumes, adjusted			
Section 4	Section 4.1	Section 4.2	Section 4.3			
Background Conditions	Baseline + Growth Rate + Background Developments	Existing + Growth Rate + Background Developments	Existing Adj. + Growth Rate + Background Developments			
Section 6	Figure 6.1	Figure 6.2	Figure 6.2			
Site Traffic Volumes	Site Generated Traffic	Site Generated Traffic	Site Generated Traffic			
Section 7	Section 7.1	Section 7.2	Section 7.3			
Future Conditions	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic			



#### 2 BACKGROUND DATA

#### 2.1 STUDY ROADWAY NETWORK

Vehicle transportation for the proposed development is provided via Center Street, Cady Street, and Beal Street. Regional transportation is provided via I-96, I-275, and M-14; with access to these routes within 5 miles of the project site location. The lane use and traffic control at the study intersections are shown on **Figures 2.1**, **2.2**, **and 2.3** for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 respectively and the study roadways are further described below. For the purposes of this study, all minor streets and driveways are assumed to have an operating speed of 25 miles per hour (mph).



Scenario 1
Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)



Scenario 2
Main St. & Center St. Closed



Scenario 3
Main St. Closed Only



#### Center Street / Sheldon Avenue

- Functional Classification: Other Principal Arterial
- Runs in the north and south directions, generally adjacent to the west side of the project site.
- North of Hines Drive/7 Mile Road: Center Street, Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 14,175 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2018), under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville.
- South of Hines Drive/7 Mile Road: Regional Name Sheldon Road and is under the jurisdiction of WCDPS.
- North of Cady: 25 mph, on-street parking
- South of Cady Street: 35 mph, bike lanes
- The roadway is a typical two-lane cross-section, with one lane in each direction.
- At the intersection with Hines Drive/7 Mile Road, the roadway is striped as a single shared lane for northbound and southbound traffic. However, vehicles on the northbound and southbound approaches utilize the available pavement width as a short left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane.





#### **Northville Road**

- Functional Classification: Minor Arterial.
- Under the jurisdiction of WCDPS
- Runs in the north and south directions, generally east side of the project site.
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 17,000 vehicles per day (MDOT 2019),
- Speed Limit varies 25 mph to 40 mph
- Four-lane cross-section with two lanes in each direction
- Undivided south of 7 Mile Road (south)
- Median divided at 7 Mile Road (north)



#### Main Street


- Functional Classification: Minor Arterial
- Runs in the east and west directions, north of the project site.
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 8,175 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2018), under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville.
- South of Hines Drive/7 Mile Road: Regional Name Sheldon Road and is under the jurisdiction of WCDPS.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- On-street parking provided on both sides of the roadway.
- The roadway is a typical two-lane cross-section, with one lane in each direction.
- On-street parking typically ends prior to an intersection, in order to provide short (25-50 ft typical) right-turn lanes at the intersections..
- The section of roadway east of Griswold Street becomes S. Main Street; for the purposes of this study S. Main Street is labeled Northville Road, specifically at the intersection with Beal Street.





#### 7 Mile Road

- Functional Classification: Minor Arterial.
- Under the jurisdiction of WCDPS
- Runs in the east and west directions, adjacent to the south site of the project site..
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 8,155 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2019),
- Speed Limit 35 mph
- The study section of 7 Mile Road is split at Northville Road for the purposes of this study:West of Northville Road referred to as N. 7 Mile Road, East of Northville Road referred to as S. 7 Mile Road
- The study section of roadway (N. 7 Mile Road) is a typical three-lane cross-section, with one lane in each direction and a center two-way left-turn lane.



#### **Edward N. Hines Drive**

- Functional Classification: Other Principal Arterial.
- Under the jurisdiction of WCDPS
- Runs in the east/southeast and west/northwest directions south side of the project site..
- South of 7 Mile Road Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 3,800 vehicles per day (MDOT 2019),
- Speed Limit 35 mph to 40 mph
- The roadway is a typical two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction.
- The adjacent exhibit further depicts the unique intersection geometry and operations of the Edward N. Hines Drive and 7 Mile Road intersection.



#### **Cady Street**

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of City of Northville
- Runs in the east and west directions, adjacent to the north side of the project site..
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- The roadway has a typical two-lane crosssection with one lane in each direction and has on-street parking on both sides of the road between Hutton Street and Griswold Street.





#### **Griswold Street**

- North of Main Street under the jurisdiction of WCDPS north of Main Street and a Minor Arterial functional classification:
- South of Main Street under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville and a Local Road functional classification:
- Runs in the north and south directions, generally east of the project site.
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 7,500 vehicles per day (MDOT 2019)
- Speed Limit 35 mph
- Two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction
- On-street parking south of Main Street adjacent to the west side of the road



#### **Hutton Street**

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville :
- Runs in the north and south directions, generally north of the project site.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- Two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction
- On-street parking north of Main Street on both sides of the roadway.





#### Wing Street

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville :
- Runs in the north and south directions, west of the project site.
- Parrell route to Center Street between Randoph St. and 7 Mile Road.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- Two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction
- On-street parking on both sides of the roadway (with a few exceptions along the roadway)



#### **Randolph Street**

- Functional Classification: Major Collector
- Under the jurisdiction of City of Northville
- Runs in the east and west directions, north of the project site.
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 4,120 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2019)
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- The roadway has a typical two-lane crosssection with one lane in each direction



#### **Dunlap Street**

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of City of Northville
- Runs in the east and west directions, north of the project site.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- The roadway has a typical two-lane crosssection with one lane in each direction





#### **Fairbrook Street**

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of City of Northville
- Runs in the east and west directions, west of the project site.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- The roadway has a typical two-lane crosssection with one lane in each direction and has on-street parking on both sides of the roadway.



#### **Beal Street**

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of City of Northville
- Runs in the east and west directions, adjacent to the west side of the project site.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- The roadway has a typical two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction



#### River Street

- Functional Classification: Local Road
- Under the jurisdiction of the City of Northville :
- Runs in the north and south directions, adjacent to the east side of the project site.
- Speed Limit 25 mph
- Two-lane cross-section with one lane in each direction



#### 2.2 TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

Traffic volume data was collected at the study intersections by F&V subconsultants, Traffic Data Collection Inc. (TDC) on May 15, 2018, and October 18, 2018, and by Gewalt Hamilton Associates, Inc (GHA) on October 19, 2021, during the weekday AM (7:00 AM-9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM-6:00 PM) peak periods. The data collection performed is summarized below and the raw traffic volume data are included in **Appendix A**.

The data collection was intentionally performed on a day with no live events at the Northville Downs racetrack to avoid any additional traffic generated by the peak existing operations. During collection of the manual intersection turning movement counts, pedestrian data and commercial truck percentages were recorded and used in the traffic analysis. Peak Hour Factors (PHFs) were also calculated for each study intersection approach.

#### **Data Collection**

#### May 15, 2018 (TDC) October 19, 2021 (GHA)

- Main Street & Center Street
- Main Street & Hutton Street
- Main Street & Griswold Street
- Main Street & Cady Street
- Cady Street & Center Street
- Cady Street & Hutton Street
- Cady Street & Church Street
- Cady Street & Griswold Street
- Beal Street & Griswold Street
- Beal Street & River Street
- Seven Mile Road & First Street / Fairbrook
- Fairbrook Street & Center Street
- Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street
- Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive
- Seven Mile Road & River Street

#### **Data Collection**

#### October 1, 2018 (TDC) October 19, 2021 (GHA)

- Beal Street & Northville Road
- SB Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road
- NB Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road
- Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road

## Data Collection October 19, 2021 (GHA)

- Randolph Street & Wing Street
- Randolph Street & Center Street
- Dunlap Street & Wing Street
- Center Street & Dunlap Street
- Dunlap Street & Hutton Street
- Main Street & Wing Street
- Cady Street & Wing Street
- Fairbrook Street & Wing Street
- Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence Blvd



#### 3 Existing Conditions Analysis (2021)

The existing conditions analysis performed an evaluation for the three (3) scenarios as summarized below.

Section 3	Section 3.1	Section 3.2	Section 3.2
Existing Conditions	Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)	Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed	Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only
Existing Traffic Volumes	Pre-COVID 2018 traffic volumes grown to 2021	2021 Existing Traffic Volumes	2021 Existing Traffic Volumes, adjusted

The existing AM and PM peak hour vehicle delays and Levels of Service (LOS) were calculated at the study intersections using Synchro (Version 11) traffic analysis software.

There are several study intersections where the traffic control used are not supported by the HCM 6<sup>th</sup> Edition analysis methodology; therefore, HCM2000 and SimTraffic simulation delays were determined to be more appropriate for use at these intersections. All remaining study intersections and driveways were analyzed using the HCM 6<sup>th</sup> Edition methodology. These intersections are summarized below:

- Griswold Street & Beal Street: The two-way stop control on the eastbound and southbound approaches at the T-intersection is not supported by the HCM. Therefore, SimTraffic delays were utilized.
- Seven Mile Road & First Street/Fairbrook: The stop control for southbound First Street and westbound Fairbrook Street is not supported by the HCM. Therefore, SimTraffic delays were utilized.
- Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive: The stop control for northbound Hines Drive and the westbound leftturn movement for Seven Mile Road is not supported by the HCM. Therefore, SimTraffic delays were utilized.
- Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road: The yield control at the median crossover at the intersection is not supported by HCM 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Therefore, HCM 2000 analysis was utilized.

Descriptions of LOS "A" through "F" as defined in the HCM are provided in **Appendix B** for signalized and unsignalized intersections. Typically, LOS D is considered acceptable, with LOS A representing minimal delay, and LOS F indicating failing conditions.

#### 3.1 Scenario 1 - Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the existing 2018 (Pre-COVID) turning movement counts collected at the study intersections. A background growth rate of 0.2% provided by SEMCOG was applied to the 2018 traffic counts to calculate the baseline 2021 traffic volumes. There are several intersections which were added into the scope of work for this study, and therefore did not have 2018 traffic volume data. In order to evaluate these intersections under the Pre-COVID conditions the traffic volumes were adjusted and balanced with the adjacent roadway network considering the reductions in traffic volumes due to COVID and the redistribution of traffic associated with the current downtown street closures on Center Street and Main Street. The peak hour volumes for each

#### Additional Study Intersections Collected October 2021

- Randolph Street & Wing Street
- Randolph Street & Center Street
- Dunlap Street & Wing Street
- Center Street & Dunlap Street
- Dunlap Street & Hutton Street
- Main Street & Wing Street
- Cady Street & Wing Street
- Fairbrook Street & Wing Street
- Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence Blvd

intersection were utilized and the volumes were balanced upward through the study network. At locations where access is provided between study intersections, "dummy" intersections were used to account for sink and source volumes, and through volumes were carried along the main study roadways. The results of the Scenario 1 existing conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1 in Appendix A** and the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 3.1 in Appendix B**.



#### 3.2 SCENARIO 2 - MAIN ST. & CENTER ST. CLOSED

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the existing 2021 turning movement counts collected at the study intersections. No COVID adjustment factors were applied to the traffic volumes and the analysis included in the current roadway operations, including the closures on Center Street and Main Street. The peak hour volumes for each intersection were utilized and the volumes were balanced upward through the study network. At locations where access is provided between study intersections, "dummy" intersections were used to account for sink and source volumes, and through volumes were carried along the main study roadways. The results of the Scenario 2 existing conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1 in Appendix A** and the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 3.2. in Appendix B** 

#### 3.3 Scenario 3 - Main St. Closed Only

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the existing 2021 turning movement counts collected at the study intersections. No COVID adjustment factors were applied to the traffic volumes and the analysis included the current roadway operations with the closures on Main Street. This evaluation included reopening Center Street, therefore for analysis purposes, Scenario 1 traffic volumes from Center Street to the west would be utilized and Scenario 2 traffic volumes east of Center Street would be utilized. The peak hour volumes for each intersection were utilized and the volumes were balanced upward through the study network. At locations where access is provided between study intersections, "dummy" intersections were used to account for sink and source volumes, and through volumes were carried along the main study roadways. The results of the Scenario 3 existing conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1 in Appendix A** and the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 3.3 in Appendix B**.

#### 3.4 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY

The results of the existing conditions analysis summarized in **Table 3.1** and are presented in **Appendix B.** The results of the existing conditions analysis indicate that all study intersection approaches and movements currently operate acceptably at a LOS D or better, with the exception of those highlighted in **Table 3.1**.

In order to improve traffic operations to a LOS D or better for all intersection approaches and movements in the existing condition scenarios, mitigation measures were investigated and are summarized in **Table 3.3**. The results of the analysis with the recommendations are summarized in **Table 3.3**.



**Table 3.1: Existing Conditions Analysis Summary** 

			Table 3.1	LAISTII	ıg c	onanio	13 A	ilalysis	Juli	iiiiai y					
				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO\	/ID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak
			, pp. odo.	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
	Randolph Street	Cton	EB		Fr	ee	1		Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
1	&	Stop (Minor)	WBL	7.8	Α	8.2	Α	7.7	Α	8.1	Α	7.7	Α	8.1	Α
	Wing Street	()	NB	11.9	В	18.9	С	11.0	В	16.9	С	11.3	В	15.8	С
	Randolph Street & Center Street		EB	39.5	Е	265.4	F	19.0	С	34.3	D	27.4	D	154.5	F
2		Stop	WB	34.3	D	154.6	F	18.2	С	25.9	D	30.2	D	79.4	F
		(Minor)	NBL	9.3	Α	9.3	Α	8.8	Α	8.5	Α	8.9	Α	9.0	Α
			SBL	8.5	Α	9.0	Α	8.0	Α	8.4	Α	8.7	Α	9.2	Α
			EB	8.6	Α	9.7	Α	10.0	Α	12.0	В	8.4	Α	9.2	Α
	Dunlap Street	Stop	WB	9.0	Α	12.1	В	15.7	С	23.5	С	8.7	Α	10.9	В
3	& Wing Street	(All-Way)	NB	8.5	Α	11.4	В	12.4	В	25.3	D	8.4	A	10.5	В
	Willy Street		SB	8.9	A	10.3	В	10.8	В	14.5	В	8.8	В	9.7	A
			Overall	8.8	A	11.2	В	13.2	В	21.5	С	8.6	A	10.3	В
		Scenario #1	EBL	21.4	С	25.1	С	15.1	С	18.7	С	25.9	С	38.8	D
		Signalized /	EBTR WBL	18.7 19.1	B B	19.2 17.4	B B	10.1	B N	11.2	В	18.5 18.8	B B	19.7 19.9	B
			WBTR	19.7	В	20.3	С	11.6	В	18.2	С	22.9	С	39.6	D
4	Center Street & Dunlap Street	Scenario #2 Stop	NBL	1.6	A	3.5	A	11.0	N,			1.1	A	2.3	A
4		(All-Way)	NBTR	1.3	A	2.0	A		N,			1.1	A	1.2	A
			SBL	6.3	Α	5.9	A	14.6	В	18.7	С	6.0	A	5.7	A
		Scenario #3	SBTR	8.0	A	10.1	В	13.1	В	13.9	В	7.3	A	8.8	Α
		Signalized	Overall	7.6	A	9.3	A	13.4	В	16.9	С	8.9	Α	14.3	В
	Dunlap Street Stop	EBL	7.6	A	8.1	A	8.0	A	8.5	Α	7.9	Α	8.5	Α	
5	&	Stop	WB	Free		Free				Fr		1			
	Hutton Street	(Minor)	SB	10.6	В	13.8	В	13.5	В	19.7	С	13.3	В	19.7	С
			EB	10.0	Α	10.9	В	11.6	В	13.5	В	9.7	Α	10.0	Α
	Main Street		WB	8.9	Α	9.9	Α	9.8	Α	12.5	В	8.5	Α	8.8	Α
6	&	Stop	NB	8.9	Α	11.3	В	10.7	В	18.0	С	8.6	Α	9.9	Α
	Wing Street	(All-Way)	SB	9.9	Α	10.3	В	15.1	С	18.2	С	9.4	Α	9.3	Α
			Overall	9.6	Α	10.7	В	12.7	В	16.3	С	9.2	Α	9.7	Α
		#1 & #3	EB	20.0	В	20.1	С	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	19.9	В	18.1	С
	Main Street	Signalized	WB	19.2	В	19.7	В		N,	/A			N.	/A	
7	&	1	NB	9.8	Α	10.0	В	8.3	Α	8.8	Α	9.0	Α	8.9	Α
	Center Street	#2 Stop	SB	1.1	Α	1.8	Α		N,			0.9	Α	1.5	Α
L		(All-Way)	Overall	9.6	Α	10.1	В	7.8	Α	8.3	Α	7.7	Α	6.1	Α
			EBTL	0.3	Α	0.3	Α		N,				N.		
		Scenario #1	EBR	0.0	A	0.0	Α		N.				N.		_
	Main Street	Signalized	WBTL	12.7	В	7.6	Α	9.5	Α	10.1	В	9.5	Α	10.1	В
8	Widin Street	/ /	WBR	13.5	В	9.2	Α	10.3	В	14.4	В	10.2	В	14.4	A
	Hutton Street	#2 & #3 Stop	NB	17.2	В	19.1	В	10.5	В	11.6	В	10.2	В	11.6	В
		(All-Way)	SBTL	21.3	С	70.2	E	12.7	В	15.7	С	12.7	В	15.7	С
			SBR	16.5	В	16.5	В	8.8	Α	9.9	A	8.8	A	9.9	A
			Overall	12.6	В	22.1	C	10.9	В	13.5	В	10.8	В	13.5	В



				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO\	/ID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	ak	РМ Ре	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Peak	
	IIICISCUIOII	Control		Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Deles	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	D. L.	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			EBTL	12.0	В	24.1	С	9.6	Α	10.2	В	9.6	Α	10.2	В
			EBTR	10.1	В	17.8	В	9.7	Α	10.2	В	9.7	Α	10.2	В
	Main Street		WBTL	10.1	В	11.8	В	10.4	В	11.1	В	10.4	В	11.1	В
9	&	Signalized	WBTR	10.5	В	12.5	В	10.8	В	11.9	В	10.8	В	11.9	В
	Griswold Street		NB	15.3	В	16.4	В	16.2	В	16.7	С	15.6	В	16.0	С
			SB	17.0	В	32.3	С	17.4	В	25.9	С	17.4	В	22.7	С
			Overall	12.9	В	20.2	С	13.2	В	16.2	В	13.0	В	15.0	В
	Main Street	Stop	EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
10	&	(Minor)	WBL	7.9	Α	8.6	Α	8.0	Α	8.4	Α	8.0	Α	8.4	Α
	Cady Street (Willion)	(WIII 101)	NB	9.7	Α	13.3	В	10.2	В	12.5	В	10.2	В	12.5	В
			EB	8.5	Α	8.9	Α	9.5	Α	9.8	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α
	Cady Street Stop	WB	8.0	Α	8.6	Α	9.2	Α	10.0	Α	7.9	Α	8.3	Α	
11	Wing Street Stop (All-Way)	NB	8.1	Α	9.3	Α	9.3	Α	11.3	В	8.0	Α	8.9	Α	
		(All-vvay)	SB	8.9	В	9.4	Α	11.9	В	12.7	В	8.5	Α	8.9	Α
			Overall	8.5	Α	9.1	Α	10.5	В	11.4	В	8.3	Α	8.7	Α
	0 1 01 1		EB	19.5	С	37.7	Е	14.7	В	22.9	С	33.9	D	116.1	F
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Stop (Minor)	WB	44.9	Е	132.3	F	44.6	Е	331.8	F	250.9	F	1554.5	F
12			NBL	8.4	Α	9.2	Α	7.6	Α	7.7	Α	8.2	Α	8.7	Α
			SBL	9.0	Α	8.9	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α	9.0	Α	9.2	Α
	Cady Street	Stop	EBL	7.8	Α	7.6	Α	8.4	Α	8.3	Α	8.3	Α	8.0	Α
13	& 31	•	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	Hutton Street	(Minor)	SB	11.5	В	10.2	В	11.7	В	14.8	В	11.4	В	12.7	В
	Cady Street	01	EB		Fr	е		Free			Free				
14	&	Stop	WB	Free			Free					Fr	ee		
	Church Street	(Minor)	SB	10.3	В	9.9	Α	11.7	В	11.5	В	11.5	В	10.9	В
			EB	11.0	В	13.9	В	12.9	В	15.1	С	12.3	В	13.5	В
45	Cady Street	Stop	WB	9.5	Α	11.0	В	11.1	В	10.7	В	11.1	В	10.4	В
15	& Griswold Street	(Minor)	NBL	7.4	Α	7.6	Α	7.6	Α	7.9	Α	7.6	Α	7.8	Α
	Onsword Officer		SBL	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.3	Α	7.3	Α	7.3	Α	7.3	Α
	Beal Street		EB	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α
16	&	Stop	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee	,		Fr	ee	
	Griswold Street	(EB & SB)	SB	0.0**	Α	3.9**	Α	3.7**	Α	3.9**	Α	3.7**	Α	3.9**	Α
	Beal Street		EB		Fr	ee				ee			Fr		
17	Bear Street	Stop	WBL	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.3	Α	7.4	Α
'	River Street	(Minor)	NB	9.1	Α	9.8	Α	9.1	Α	9.5	Α	9.1	Α	9.5	Α
			EB	10.5	В	12.6	В	9.8	Α	11.3	В	9.8	Α	11.3	В
18	Beal Street &	Stop	NBL	8.0	A	8.6	A	8.0	A	8.5	A	8.0	A	8.5	A
10	Northville Road	(Minor)	SB	0.0				0.0		!	_ ^	0.0	Fr		
				1.7**	1	ee 6.7**	^	1.8**	1	ee 3.5**		5.0**		2.1**	^
	Seven Mile Road	01	EBL	1.1"	A		Α	1.0""	A		Α	ວ.ປ‴້	A		Α
19	& First Street /	Stop (Minor)	WB	10.0**		ee 27.0**	<u> </u>	0.0**	Fr		Б	0.2**	Fr		
		(Minor)	SB	10.0**	В	27.9**	D	9.6**	Α	12.5**	В	9.3**	A	16.6**	С
	Fairbrook Street		SW	6.8**	Α	12.1**	В	6.5**	Α	9.6**	Α	7.1**	Α	8.5**	Α



				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO\	/ID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)				
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Peak		PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	AM Peak		ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Peak		
	intersection			Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	
			EB	7.5	Α	8.1	Α	8.0	Α	8.4	Α	7.4	Α	8.0	Α	
	Fairbrook Street	01	WB	6.8	Α	7.9	Α	7.4	Α	8.1	Α	6.7	Α	7.7	Α	
20	&	Stop (All-Way)	NB	7.5	Α	8.5	Α	8.3	Α	8.7	Α	7.5	Α	8.2	Α	
	Wing Street	(All-vvay)	SB	7.5	Α	8.3	Α	8.9	Α	9.3	Α	7.4	Α	8.1	Α	
			Overall	7.4	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α	8.9	Α	7.3	Α	8.0	Α	
	Fairbrook Street	EB	22.6	С	23.2	С	14.5	В	13.7	В	18.3	В	18.9	В		
21	& Stop	Stop (Minor)	NBL	8.5	Α	10.1	В	8.3	Α	8.3	Α	8.3	Α	9.5	Α	
	Center Street	(IVIIIIOI)	SB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee		
	Seven Mile Road		EBL	7.7	Α	9.2	Α	7.9	Α	8.9	Α	7.8	Α	8.9	Α	
00	&	Stop	WBL	8.8	Α	8.4	Α	8.2	Α	8.1	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α	
22	Wing Street / St.	(Minor)	NB	15.3	С	22.3	С	13.2	В	17.9	С	12.9	В	21.0	С	
	Lawrence Blvd		SB	17.4	С	39.4	Е	22.7	С	95.0	F	14.6	В	32.0	D	
			EBL	20.6	С	33.1	С	20.5	С	31.0	С	21.5	С	30.1	С	
			EBTR	33.3	С	26.7	С	29.5	С	28.5	С	23.3	С	27.3	С	
		Signalized	WBL	37.6	D	39.4	D	39.9	D	50.8	D	27.0	С	38.6	D	
	Seven Mile Road		WBT	18.3	В	27.8	С	18.8	В	26.4	С	18.9	В	25.2	С	
23	& Sheldon Avenue / Center Street		WBR	17.4	В	18.4	В	16.8	В	17.9	В	17.2	В	18.1	В	
20			NBL	19.9	В	40.0	D	22.1	С	20.5	С	18.2	В	30.4	С	
			NBTR	21.6	С	27.5	С	16.7	В	19.4	В	18.8	В	21.8	С	
			SBL	33.3	С	43.0	D	21.2	С	27.5	С	27.0	С	31.5	С	
			SBTR	15.4	В	21.7	С	15.9	В	14.3	В	14.6	В	18.9	В	
			Overall	23.6	С	27.1	C	21.3	С	24.0	C	19.3	В	23.9	C	
	Seven Mile Road	Stop	WBL	13.1**   B   22.4**   C   Free				11.2**	В	17.6**	С	4.3**	A	21.8**	С	
24	&	(NB Hines & WBL 7 Mile)	WBR	47.0**				44.0**	1	ee 05.4**		40.0**	Fr			
	Hines Drive		NB	17.0**	С	31.1**	D	14.3**	В	25.1**	D	12.6**	В	25.5**	D	
			SBL	4.7**	Α	4.0**	Α	3.9**	Α	3.8**	Α	3.6**	Α	4.3**	Α	
0.5	Seven Mile Road	Stop	EBL	7.7	A	8.7	Α	7.8	A	8.6	Α	7.8 A 8.6 A				
25	& River Street	(Minor)	WB	44.0	Fr			40.0		ee		40.0	Fr			
	River Street		SB	11.0	В	13.7	В	10.9	В	13.9	В	10.9	В	13.9	В	
	SB Northville Road		EBT	11.5	В	14.4	В	11.5	В	13.9	В	11.5	В	13.9	В	
26	&	Stop/Yield	EBR	12.2	В	13.7	В	10.9	В	13.3	В	10.9	В	13.3	В	
	N. Seven Mile Road	(Minor)	WB	13.9		69.2	F	13.8	В	66.8	F	13.8	В	66.8	F	
			SB		_	ee	ı			ee			Fr			
	NB Northville Road	V:-1-I	EBL	14.5	В	32.2	D	15.1	С	32.0	D	15.1	С	32.0	D	
27	& N. Seven Mile	Yield (Minor)	NBTL	4.9	Α	5.9	Α	4.8	Α	6.2	Α	4.8	Α	6.2	Α	
	Road	(WIII IOI)	NBT		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee		
			WBL	21.5	С	22.3	С	21.5	С	24.7	С	21.5	С	24.7	С	
	N		WBR	9.9	Α	15.3	В	9.6	Α	14.8	В	9.6	Α	14.8	В	
	Northville Road		NBT	40.0	D	129.2	F	49.9	D	107.9	F	49.9	D	107.9	F	
28	& S. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBTR	58.8	Е	131.7	F	68.8	Е	111.6	F	68.8	Е	111.6	F	
	S. Seven Mile Road		SBL	57.4	Е	44.1	D	37.1	D	39.7	D	37.1	D	39.7	D	
	7.000		SBT	11.3	В	12.2	В	11.3	В	12.0	В	11.3	В	12.0	В	
			Overall	37.1	D	58.7	Е	36.3	D	50.1	D	36.3	D	50.1	D	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates no vehicle volume present \*\* Indicates SimTraffic delay was utilized



**Table 3.2: Existing Intersection Mitigation Summary** 

	Intersection	Scenario #1 (Pre- COVID)	Scenario #2 (Both Closed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)					
2	Randolph Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	n/a	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.					
8	Main Street & Hutton Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended	n/a						
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended  Signal Recommended  All Way Stop Control  Signal Recommended							
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.						
22	Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence	operations. Queue lengths	ations indicates acceptable were minimal, and vehicles d gaps in traffic.	n/a					
23	Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street		e/culvert across the Johnson lane with 500-ft of storage ler is <b>recommended</b> .						
26/ 27	Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road	Signal Recommended  Delays for WB Stop control approach, northbound left-turn sight distance limitations.							
28	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road	Signal 1	Fiming Optimization Recom	mended					



**Table 3.3: Existing Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary** 

				Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID)				Scenario #2 (Both Closed)				Scenario #3 (Main Closed)				
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM P	eak	AM P	eak	PM Peak		
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	
			EB	25.9	С	27.9	С					26.4	С	26.7	С	
	Randolph Street	#1 & #3	WB	20.9	С	18.5	В					21.8	С	19.4	В	
2	&	Signalized	NB	1.0	Α	1.7	Α		No Cl	nange		1.0	Α	1.8	Α	
	Center Street	9	SB	7.6	Α	9.8	Α					6.2	Α	8.1	Α	
L			Overall	8.0	Α	10.3	В					6.9	Α	8.9	Α	
			EBTL			20.6	С									
		Scenario #1	EBR			17.8	В									
	Main Street	Signalized	WBTL			17.0	В									
8	Wain Street &	/	WBR	No Cha	nge	23.4	С		No Cl	nange			No Ch	nange		
	Hutton Street	#2 & #3	NB	110 0110	gc	8.6	Α			iango				iango		
		Stop (All-Way)	SBTL			11.2	В									
		(All-vvay)	SBR			8.3	Α									
			Overall			17.5	В				l _				_	
	Main Street & Griswold Street	Signalized	EBTL			32.8	С			15.2	В			15.2	В	
			EBTR			22.4	С	No Change		15.0	В	No Change		15.0	В	
			WBTL			17.5	В			16.3	В			16.3	В	
9			WBTR	No Cha	inge	19.2	В			18.0	В	No Cha	ange	18.0	В	
			NB			11.2	В			11.5 B 15.4 B				11.0	В	
			SB			17.5	В <b>В</b>				В <b>В</b>	1		14.6	В <b>В</b>	
			Overall	04.7		19.0		10.7	D	15.2		22.2		15.0		
		#1 & #3	EB	24.7 25.9	С	22.9	С	10.7	В	13.5	В	22.2	С	19.9	В	
10	Cady Street &	Signalized / #2 Stop	WB	5.4	C	23.6 6.7	C A	13.2 15.9	В	20.2 34.6	C	25.3 7.3	C	24.6 10.1	C B	
12	α Center Street		NB SB	0.6	A	1.3	A	10.9	В	14.2	В	0.7	A	10.1	А	
	Conton Curcot	(All-Way)	Overall	5.5	A	6.2	A	13.6	В	24.4	С	8.7	A	10.4	В	
			EBL	24.6	C	37.0	D	24.7	С	35.8	D	24.7	C	35.8	D	
	OD North The Doort		EBR	15.5	В	16.6	В	13.6	В	16.5	В	13.6	В	16.5	В	
	SB Northville Road &		NBL	6.8	A	4.9	A	6.9	A	4.9	A	6.9	A	4.9	A	
26	N. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBT	0.2	Α	0.2	Α	0.2	Α	0.2	Α	0.2	Α	0.2	Α	
	Road		SB	24.2	С	25.0	С	24.2	С	24.6	С	24.2	С	24.6	С	
			Overall	12.7	В	11.8	В	11.6	В	12.0	В	11.6	В	12.0	В	
			WBL	34.9	С	33.2	С	34.8	С	41.4	D	24.8	С	41.4	D	
			WBR	13.0	В	22.6	С	12.6	В	21.6	С	12.6	В	21.6	С	
	Northville Road		NBT	29.0	С	41.9	D	31.8	С	38.5	D	31.8	С	38.5	D	
28	& Cover Mile	& Signalized	NBTR	34.1	С	43.2	D	36.1	D	39.9	D	36.1	D	39.9	D	
	S. Seven Mile Road		SBL	35.3	D	32.7	С	31.6	С	29.7	С	31.6	С	29.7	С	
	Noau		SBT	14.0	В	4.2	Α	14.0	В	4.2	Α	14.0	В	4.2	Α	
			Overall	28.5	С	29.3	С	28.5	С	28.9	С	28.5	С	28.9	С	




#### 3.4.1 Center Street and Randolph Street

Several mitigation measures were identified at this intersection in order to address the intersection delays and vehicle queueing identified in all three (3) Scenarios. These alternatives are summarized below.

All Way Stop Control The All-Way Stop control was Provided Intersection Recommendation not able to mitigate all of the Signalization Signalized intersection intersection delays. Additional Evaluated as the prefered traffic delays extended into mitigation measure adjacent intersections on Signalizing the intersection Center Street. mitigated the existing delays The signalized intersection and was coordinated with the option was able to mitigate the adjacent signalized existing intersection delays for intersections. all Scenarios.


#### 3.4.2 Center Street and Cady Street

Several mitigation measures were identified at this intersection in order to address the intersection delays and vehicle queueing identified in all three (3) Scenarios. These alternatives are summarized below.



#### 3.4.3 Northville Road (S. Main Street) and N. Seven Mile Road

Several mitigation measures were identified at this intersection in order to address the intersection delays and vehicle queueing identified in all three (3) Scenarios. These alternatives are summarized below.





#### 3.4.4 Sheldon Avenue/Center Street and Seven Mile Road/Hines Drive

The LOS at this intersection showed acceptable intersection delays; however, review of the Sim Traffic simulations showed long vehicles queues for several movements. Therefore, mitigation measures were investigated at this intersection in order to address the vehicle queuing and subsequent intersection delays. The mitigation measures options evaluated are summarized below and the results of the operations for each option for each scenario are provided on **Tables 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6** for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

#### **Option 1: Signalization Improvements**

- Upgrade to a fully actuated signal.
- Restripe the NB approach to provide a left-turn lane Note: length would be limited by existing geometric constraints of the bridge.
- Restripe the SB approach to provide an exclusive left-turn lane.

#### Option 2: Increased Northbound Left-Turn Storage

- Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 300- ft of storage length.
- Restripe the SB approach to provide an exclusive left-turn lane.

#### **Option 3: Roundabout**

- · Additional ROW required, in order to accommodate a roundabout.
- · Potential wetland mitigation may be needed.
- · Grading and sight distance issues to address
- Will require special design consideration for pedestrians and bicycles.

### **Summary**

- The results of the analysis showed that increasing the left-turn storage (Option 2) provided the highest reduction in vehicle queueing.
- However, the reduction in traffic volumes in Scenario 2 overall reduced the traffic impact at this intersection.
- Therefore, the recommendation for this intersection is: Implement Scenario 2 and maintain closures on Center Street and Main Street.



Table 3.4: Scenario 1- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			nalizat ement		Op2		ased N rage	BLT	Ор	3 Roı	ındabo	out
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	20.6	С	25	60	23.3	С	30	144	20.6	С	26	84	8.4	Α	180	372
	EBTR	33.3	С	163	266	50.4	D	223	371	33.3	С	197	340	0.4	A	100	312
	WBL	37.6	D	20	51	28.6	С	21	43	37.6	D	21	52				
	WBT	18.3	В	47	94	27.5	С	66	117	18.3	В	50	106	4.7	Α	27	60
	WBR	17.4	В	13	40	23.2	С	13	35	17.4	В	16	47				
AM	NBL	19.9	В	27	63	21.0	С	27	61	19.9	В	22	59				
	NBT	21.6	С	257	451	50.3	D	415	781	18.6	В	224	414	11.5	В	1653	3147
	NBR	21.0		231	401	30.3		413	701	11.3	В	30	85				
	SBL	33.3	С	57	121	28.4	С	38	72	28.1	С	52	107	5.2	Α	78	164
	SBTR	15.4	В	113	202	27.8	С	145	258	15.4	В	123	207	5.2	^	70	104
	Overall	23.6	С	N/A	N/A	40.3	D	N/A	N/A	22.3	С	N/A	N/A	8.1	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	33.1	С	26	68	31.7	С	16	44	33.1	С	26	65	10.3	В	192	454
	EBTR	26.7	С	150	243	54.6	D	227	363	26.7	С	144	237	10.5	Ь	132	434
	WBL	39.4	D	83	188	36.8	D	107	261	39.4	D	92	216				
	WBT	27.8	С	186	292	52.4	D	249	401	27.8	С	206	354	8.6	Α	599	785
	WBR	18.4	В	65	174	27.5	С	88	252	18.4	В	76	241				
PM	NBL	40.0	D	50	75	28.0	С	47	73	40.0	D	171	374				
	NBT	27.5	С	2844	5931	54.4	D	2631	4614	20.6	С	304	531	15.9	С	5631	12659
	NBR	27.5	C	2044	3931	54.4	D	2031	4014	11.6	В	33	85				
	SBL	43.0	D	77	184	29.1	С	81	243	31.8	С	74	179	13.6	В	519	531
	SBTR	21.7	С	223	354	37.3	D	323	509	21.7	С	239	375	13.0	ט	פוט	JJ 1
	Overall	27.1	С	N/A	N/A	46.0	D	N/A	N/A	24.6	С	N/A	N/A	12.3	В	N/A	N/A

Table 3.5: Scenario 2- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			nalizati vement		Op2		ased N rage	B LT	Ор	3 Roı	ındabo	out
Period	Арргоасп	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	20.5	С	10	33	18.3	В	11	32	20.5	С	9	28	6.9	Α	73	128
	EBTR	29.5	С	150	244	31.8	С	173	301	29.5	С	140	237	0.9	А	73	120
	WBL	39.9	D	51	122	21.3	С	33	62	39.9	D	47	95				
	WBT	18.8	В	60	152	20.0	В	56	125	18.8	В	64	119	3.9	Α	44	78
	WBR	16.8	В	7	23	16.3	В	10	42	16.8	В	6	27				
AM	NBL	22.1	С	36	72	21.8	С	35	74	22.1	С	35	79				
	NBT	16.7	В	199	358	30.3	С	228	431	14.9	В	148	288	6.1	Α	155	287
	NBR	10.7	Ь	199	330	30.3	C	220	401	11.3	В	34	85				
	SBL	21.2	С	18	58	21.7	С	22	53	18.4	В	20	52	5.0	Α	65	130
	SBTR	15.9	В	109	180	30.3	С	116	224	15.9	В	105	176	5.0	٨	05	130
	Overall	21.3	С	N/A	N/A	28.2	С	N/A	N/A	20.6	С	N/A	N/A	5.6	Α	N/A	N/A



Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			nalizati rement		Op2		ased N rage	BLT	Ор	3 Roı	ındabo	out
Period	Арргоасп	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	31.0	С	17	46	24.8	С	22	91	31.0	С	19	52	7.3	Α	80	174
	EBTR	28.5	С	143	234	43.0	D	230	385	28.5	С	146	238	1.3	A	60	174
	WBL	50.8	D	103	220	32.6	С	89	207	50.8	D	88	188				
	WBT	26.4	С	188	359	33.4	С	194	329	26.4	С	170	314	5.6	Α	176	336
	WBR	17.9	В	63	262	21.1	С	69	216	17.9	В	52	219				
PM	NBL	20.5	С	45	74	20.6	С	43	74	20.5	С	53	108				
	NBT	19.4	В	283	482	38.8	D	418	640	16.2	В	167	338	8.0	Α	992	1978
	NBR	19.4	Ь	200	402	30.0	D	410	040	11.7	В	43	92				
	SBL	27.5	С	47	100	24.3	С	35	69	22.2	С	41	80	6.1	A	105	209
	SBTR	14.3	В	112	174	25.9	С	147	235	14.3	В	105	171	0.1	A	100	209
	Overall	24.0	С	N/A	N/A	33.8	С	N/A	N/A	22.8	С	N/A	N/A	6.7	Α	N/A	N/A

Table 3.6: Scenario 3- Center Street and Seven Mile Road Intersection Mitigation Summary (Existing)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			nalizati rement		Op2		ased N rage	BLT	Ор	3 Roı	ındabo	out
Period	Арргоасп	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	21.5	С	23	49	21.1	С	17	40	21.5	С	20	51	5.6	Α	57	115
	EBTR	23.3	С	125	214	31.1	С	129	234	23.3	С	113	191	0.0	<i>,</i> ,	01	110
	WBL	27.0	С	20	48	22.6	С	19	45	27.0	С	18	49				
	WBT	18.9	В	67	119	25.8	С	76	137	18.9	В	73	142	4.1	Α	45	83
	WBR	17.2	В	12	33	20.5	С	12	35	17.2	В	13	38				
AM	NBL	18.2	В	20	57	15.1	В	29	72	18.2	В	18	47				
	NBT	18.8	В	200	380	27.2	С	263	446	16.9	В	190	343	7.3	Α	249	495
	NBR									11.2	В	30	84				
	SBL	27.0	С	39	84	18.2	В	39	73	23.8	С	40	84	4.8	Α	53	109
	SBTR	14.6	В	118	182	19.9	В	104	183	14.6	В	118	197				
	Overall	19.3	В	N/A	N/A	25.1	С	N/A	N/A	18.4	В	N/A	N/A	5.7	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	30.1	С	21	54	26.9	С	22	92	30.1	С	22	53	9.2	Α	193	425
	EBTR	27.3	С	156	259	46.3	D	204	325	27.3	С	156	265	0.2	, ,		120
	WBL	38.6	D	67	157	29.1	С	81	204	38.6	D	62	120				
	WBT	25.2	С	164	271	36.1	D	205	325	25.2	С	148	240	6.3	Α	348	787
	WBR	18.1	В	50	150	23.9	С	66	197	18.1	В	44	119				
PM	NBL	30.4	С	47	73	24.0	С	46	74	30.4	С	66	139				
	NBT	21.8	С	493	1009	43.3	D	1150	2210	18.1	В	217	370	10.4	В	5522	11813
	NBR	21.0		433	1009	40.0	D	1130	2210	11.5	В	36	89				
	SBL	31.5	С	66	169	25.3	С	64	201	25.8	С	50	128	9.3	Α	519	533
	SBTR	18.9	В	184	282	34.5	С	297	486	18.9	В	199	305	9.0	^	313	333
	Overall	23.9	С	N/A	N/A	37.7	D	N/A	N/A	22.5	С	N/A	N/A	8.8	Α	N/A	N/A



#### 4 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS ANALYSIS (2028)

The proposed development is expected to have an opening day in 2024 with the first dwelling units occupied, with a full buildout of the site in 2028. Therefore, the background conditions analysis evaluated the projected operations in 2028 *without the proposed development* for the three (3) scenarios as summarized below.

Section 4	Section 4.1	Section 4.2	Section 4.3
Background Conditions	Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)	Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed	Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only
Background Traffic Volumes	Baseline + Growth Rate + Background Developments	Existing + Growth Rate + Background Developments	Existing adj. + Growth Rate + Background Developments

A background growth was determined to calculate the projected implicit background traffic growth to the site buildout year in 2028. Population and employment data were used in order to determine the applicable growth rate for the existing traffic volumes to the project build-out year of 2028. The SEMCOG community profile for the City of Northville was reviewed and showed an average annual growth rate of 0.20% population growth and a 0.07% employment growth from 2020 to 2045. Therefore, an annual growth rate of 0.20% per year was applied to the existing traffic volumes evaluated in Section 3 for all three (3) scenarios.

In addition to background growth, it is important to account for traffic that will be generated by approved and/or proposed developments within the vicinity of the study area that have yet to be constructed or are currently under construction. The following developments were identified by the City of Northville:

- Cady Project 6-unit condominium (South side of Cady Street, east of Center Street)
- 355 E. Cady St. 3-story mixed-use building; first floor Retail, office above
- 455 E. Cady St "Hanger Building"- office space
- Foundry Flask 78 Multi-Family Units, corner store specialty market

The number of AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed developments were forecast based on data published by ITE in the *Trip Generation Manual*, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition<sup>1</sup> and the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. The trip distribution that was determined for the proposed Northville Downs development was used to distribute the trip projections for these developments.

#### 4.1 Scenario 1 - Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the baseline 2021 traffic volumes shown on Figure 3.1. A 0.2% annual background growth rate was applied to these traffic volumes and the trips generated by the adjacent developments were added into the study network to calculate the Scenario 1 background conditions traffic volumes. The results of the Scenario 1 background conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1** the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 4.1 in Appendix C**.

#### 4.2 SCENARIO 2 - MAIN ST. & CENTER ST. CLOSED

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the baseline 2021 traffic volumes shown on Figure 3.2. A 0.2% annual background growth rate was applied to these traffic volumes and the trips generated by the adjacent developments were added into the study network to calculate the Scenario 2 background conditions traffic volumes. The results of the Scenario 2 background conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1** and the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 4.2 in Appendix C.** 

#### 4.3 SCENARIO 3 - MAIN ST. CLOSED ONLY

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the baseline 2021 traffic volumes shown on Figure 3.3. A 0.2% annual background growth rate was applied to these traffic volumes and the trips generated by the adjacent developments were added into the study network to calculate the Scenario 3 background conditions traffic volumes. The results of the Scenario 3 background conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on **Figure 2.1** the traffic volumes shown on **Figure 4.3** in **Appendix C**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The ITE Trip Generation 11<sup>th</sup> edition was published in October 2021. The trip generation analysis performed for these land uses was performed before the release of this publication, therefore the 10<sup>th</sup> Edition data was utilized for these developments



#### 4.4 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY

The results of the background conditions analysis summarized in **Table 4.1** and are presented in **Appendix C.** The results of the background conditions analysis indicate that all study intersection approaches and movements are expected to operate similar to existing conditions with the following additional delays, highlighted in **Table 4.1**.

In order to improve traffic operations to a LOS D or better for all intersection approaches and movements in the background condition scenarios, the mitigation measures evaluated in the existing conditions analyses were investigated in addition to mitigation measures identified as necessary to accommodate the additional projected background traffic volumes. The recommended mitigation measures are summarized in **Table 4.2** and the results of the analysis with the recommendations is summarized in **Table 4.3**.

**Table 4.1: Background Conditions Analysis Summary** 

				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	(s/ven)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
	Randolph Street	Stop	EB		Fr				Fr				Fr		
1	&	(Minor)	WBL	7.8	Α	8.3	Α	7.7	Α	8.1	Α	7.7	Α	8.1	Α
	Wing Street	()	NB	12.0	В	19.9	С	11.1	В	17.4	С	11.4	В	16.3	С
	Randolph Street		EB	42.6	Е	308.9	F	19.4	С	38.7	Е	28.8	D	187.7	F
2	&	Stop	WB	36.4	Е	188.3	F	18.7	С	27.5	D	31.7	D	92.1	F
_	Center Street	(Minor)	NBL	9.3	Α	9.4	Α	8.8	Α	8.6	Α	9.0	Α	9.1	Α
			SBL	8.6	Α	9.1	Α	8.0	Α	8.5	Α	8.7	Α	9.3	Α
			EB	8.6	Α	9.9	Α	10.1	В	12.6	В	8.5	Α	9.5	Α
	Dunlap Street	Stop	WB	9.1	Α	12.6	В	16.3	С	27.0	D	8.8	Α	11.4	В
3	&	(All-Way)	NB	8.6	Α	11.8	В	12.7	В	28.7	D	8.4	Α	10.9	В
	Wing Street	( , )	SB	8.9	Α	10.5	В	11.0	В	15.3	С	8.8	В	9.9	Α
			Overall	8.8	Α	11.6	В	13.6	В	24.1	С	8.6	Α	10.7	В
		Scenario #1	EBL	21.6	С	25.9	С	15.4	С	19.5	С	26.5	С	44.6	D
		Signalized	EBTR	18.8	В	19.4	В	10.2	В	11.6	В	18.6	В	19.9	В
		1	WBL	19.1	В	17.5	В		N.			18.9	В	20.1	С
	Center Street	Scenario #2	WBTR	19.9	В	20.9	С	11.9	В	20.7	С	23.4	С	48.2	D
4	&	Stop	NBL	1.6	Α	3.6	Α		N,			1.1	Α	2.4	Α
	Dunlap Street	(All-Way)	NBTR	1.3	Α	2.1	Α		N.			1.2	Α	1.2	Α
		Scenario #3	SBL	6.4	Α	6.0	Α	15.0	В	20.2	С	6.0	Α	5.8	Α
		Signalized	SBTR	8.0	Α	10.2	В	13.5	В	14.4	В	7.4	Α	8.9	Α
		0.9.10200	Overall	7.7	Α	9.6	Α	13.7	В	18.2	С	9.2	Α	16.6	В
	Dunlap Street	Stop	EBL	7.6	Α	8.2	Α	8.0	Α	8.7	Α	7.9	Α	8.7	Α
5	&	(Minor)	WB		Fr				Fr		1 _		Fr		
	Hutton Street	, ,	SB	10.8	В	14.4	В	13.9	В	21.7	С	13.7	В	21.7	С
			EB	10.1	В	11.3	В	11.9	В	13.9	В	9.8	Α	10.2	Α
	Main Street	Stop	WB	9.0	Α	10.2	В	10.0	Α	12.8	В	8.6	Α	8.9	A
6	& \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(All-Way)	NB	9.0	Α	11.6	В	10.9	В	19.0	С	8.7	Α	10.1	В
	Wing Street		SB	10.0	В	10.7	В	15.5	С	19.3	С	9.5	Α	9.5	Α
			Overall	9.7	Α	11.0	В	13.0	В	17.1	С	9.3	Α	9.8	Α
		#1 & #3	EB	20.2	В	20.5	С	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	20.0	С	18.3	С
	Main Street	Signalized	WB	19.4	В	20.8	С		N,				N/		
7	& Contor Street	#2 01	NB	9.9	Α	10.1	В	8.4	A	8.9	Α	9.1	Α	9.0	Α
	Center Street	#2 Stop (All-Way)	SB	1.1	Α	1.9	Α	7.0	N.		1 4	1.0	A	1.6	A
		(All-vvay)	Overall	9.8	Α	10.6	В	7.9	Α	8.3	Α	7.8	Α	6.2	Α



				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO\	/ID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	io #3 (	Main Clo	osed)
	Intersection	Control	Annyoneh	AM Pe		PM Pe		AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	eak	PM P	eak
	intersection	Control	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Dolay	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			EBTL	0.3	Α	0.3	Α		N.	/A			N	/A	
		Scenario #1	EBR	0.0	Α	0.0	Α		N,				N.		
	Main Street	Signalized	WBTL	12.8	В	4.6	Α	9.6	Α	10.2	В	9.5	Α	10.2	В
8	Wall Street &	/	WBR	13.7	В	5.9	Α	10.6	В	16.3	С	10.6	В	16.3	С
	Hutton Street	#2 & #3	NB	17.2	В	19.1	В	10.6	В	12.1	В	10.3	В	12.1	В
		Stop (All-Way)	SBTL	21.6	С	86.3	F	13.2	В	17.6	С	13.1	В	17.6	С
		(All-VVay)	SBR	16.5	В	16.5	В	8.9	Α	10.2	В	8.9	Α	10.2	В
			Overall	12.7	В	24.0	С	11.3	В	15.0	В	11.2	В	15.0	В
			EBTL	12.1	В	25.0	С	9.6	Α	10.4	В	9.6	Α	10.4	В
			EBTR	10.2	В	18.3	В	9.7	Α	10.4	В	9.7	Α	10.4	В
	Main Street		WBTL	10.2	В	12.1	В	10.5	В	11.3	В	10.5	В	11.3	В
9	&	Signalized	WBTR	10.5	В	12.9	В	10.9	В	12.2	В	10.9	В	12.2	В
	Griswold Street		NB	15.6	В	17.5	В	16.6	В	17.8	В	15.9	В	16.8	С
			SB	17.2	B -	44.9	D	17.7	<u>B</u>	32.5	С	17.8	В	26.5	С
			Overall	13.1		24.1	С	13.5	В	18.4	В	13.3	В	16.3	В
	Main Street	Stop	EB		Fr				Fr				Fr		
10	&	(Minor)	WBL	7.9	A	8.9	Α	8.0	A	8.6	Α	8.0	A	8.6	Α
	Cady Street	, ,	NB	10.0	В	20.3	С	10.6	В	16.8	С	10.6	В	16.8	С
			EB	8.5	Α	8.9	Α	9.5	Α	9.9	Α	8.3	Α	8.6	Α
	Cady Street	Stop	WB	8.1	Α	8.7	Α	9.3	Α	10.2	В	7.9	Α	8.4	Α
11	& \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(All-Way)	NB	8.2	A	9.4	Α	9.4	A	11.4	В	8.0	Α	8.9	Α
	Wing Street		SB	8.9	В	9.5	Α	12.1	В	13.0	B	8.6	Α	9.0	Α
			Overall	8.6	Α	9.2	A	10.7	В	11.6	В	8.3	A	8.8	Α
	Cady Street		EB	19.9	C	41.3	<u>E</u>	15.2	С	25.3	D	36.7	E	150.3	F
12	&	Stop	WB	48.7	E	184.1	F	52.7	F	436.6	F	309.6	F	2200.0	F
	Center Street	(Minor)	NBL	8.4	Α	9.2	Α	7.6	Α	7.7	Α	8.2	Α	8.7	Α
			SBL	9.1	Α	9.0	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α	9.1	Α	9.3	Α
	Cady Street	Stop	EBL	7.8	Α_	7.6	Α	8.4	Α_	8.3	Α	8.3	Α_	8.1	Α
13	& Hutton Street	(Minor)	WB	44.0		ee	_	44.0		ee 45.5	_	44.0		ee	T 5
			SB	11.6	В	10.3	В	11.8	В	15.5	С	11.6	В	13.1	В
, ,	Cady Street	Stop	EB			ee			Fr					ee	
14	& Church Street	(Minor)	WB	40.4	1	ee		44.0	1	ee		44.0		ee	
	Chalch Street		SB	10.4	В	10.0	В	11.8	В	11.8	В	11.6	В	11.1	В
	Cady Street		EB	11.7	В	16.1	С	14.1	В	18.4	С	13.3	В	15.7	С
15	&	Stop	WB	9.1	Α	11.2	В	10.1	В	11.0	В	10.1	В	10.8	В
	Griswold Street	(Minor)	NBL	7.4	A	7.6	Α	7.7	A	7.9	A	7.7	A	7.8	A
			SBL	7.3	Α	7.5	Α	7.3	A	7.3	Α	7.3	Α	7.3	A
10	Beal Street	Stop	EB	0.0*	A	0.0*	Α	0.0*	A	0.0*	Α	0.0*	A	0.0*	Α
16	& Griswold Street	(EB & SB)	WB	2 0**	Fr	ee	Α	2.044	Fr		Α	0 7**		ee 2.0**	
			SB	3.8**	A	3.9**	Α	3.8**	A	3.8**	Α	3.7**	A	3.9**	Α
	Beal Street	Stop	EB	7.0		ee		7.0	Fr			7.0		ee	
17	& River Street	(Minor)	WBL	7.3	Α	7.5	Α	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.3	Α	7.4	A
	Kivei Stieet		NB	9.1	Α	9.9	Α	9.2	Α	9.6	Α	9.2	Α	9.6	Α



				Scenar	rio #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
				AM Pe		PM Pe		AM Pe		PM Pe		AM Pe		PM Pe	
	Intersection	Control	Approach	Delay		Dolov		Dolay		Delay		Delay		Delay	
				(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)	LOS
	Beal Street	Stop	EB	10.6	В	13.0	В	9.9	Α	11.6	В	9.9	Α	11.6	В
18	& Nambovilla Dand	(Minor)	NBL	8.1	Α	8.7	Α	8.1	Α	8.7	Α	8.1	Α	8.7	Α
	Northville Road	, ,	SB		Fr				Fr				Fr		
	Seven Mile Road		EBL	1.5**	_ A	11.2**	В	1.3**	Α	3.3**	Α	3.4**	Α_	2.5**	Α
19	& ====================================	Stop	WB	40 7**		ee		40.0**	Fr			40.0**	Fr		
	First Street / Fairbrook Street	(Minor)	SB	12.7**	В	29.4**	D	10.6**	В	14.4**	В	10.8**	В	11.3**	В
	T AIIDIOOK Street		SW	10.2**	В	13.2**	В	9.9**	Α	6.2**	Α	12.8**	В	8.2**	A
			EB	7.5	A	8.1	Α	8.1	Α	8.4	A	7.4	A	8.0	Α
00	Fairbrook Street	Stop	WB	6.8	A	7.9	Α	7.4	Α	8.1	A	6.7	Α	7.7	Α
20	& Wing Street	(All-Way)	NB	7.5	Α	8.5	Α	8.3	A	8.8	Α	7.5	A	8.2	Α
	Willy Street		SB	7.5	A	8.3	A	8.9	A	9.4	A	7.5	A	8.1	A
			Overall	7.4	A	8.2	A	8.5	Α	8.9	Α	7.4	Α	8.0	Α
	Fairbrook Street	Stop	EB	23.2	C	24.3	С	14.7	В	14.1	В	18.7	В	19.6	С
21	& Center Street	(Minor)	NBL	8.5	A	10.2	В	8.3	A	8.4	Α	8.3	A	9.6	Α
	Center Street		SB	7.0		ee		7.0	Fr		Ι Δ	7.0	Fr		
	Seven Mile Road		EBL	7.8	Α	9.3	Α	7.9	A	8.9	Α	7.8	A	9.0	Α
22	& Wing Ctroot / Ct	Stop	WBL	8.9	A	8.4	Α	8.2	Α	8.1	A	8.2	Α	8.5	Α
	Wing Street / St. Lawrence Blvd	(Minor)	NB	15.5	С	22.5	С	13.3	В	18.1	С	13.0	В	21.4	С
	Lawrence biva		SB	17.9	С	41.9	E	23.4	С	105.0	F	14.8	В	33.3	D
			EBL	20.7	С	33.5	С	20.5	С	31.4	С	21.6	С	30.4	С
			EBTR	34.1	С	27.0	С	30.0	С	29.0	С	23.5	С	27.7	С
			WBL	38.3 18.3	D	40.5 28.1	D	40.8	D	53.1 26.8	D	27.3	С	39.6	D C
	Seven Mile Road		WBT WBR	17.4	B	18.5	C B	18.9 16.8	B B	18.0	C B	19.0 17.2	B B	25.5 18.2	В
23	& Sheldon Avenue /	Signalized	NBL	20.2	С	43.0	D	22.5	С	21.0	С	18.4	В	31.8	С
	Center Street		NBTR	22.2	С	28.9	С	16.9	В	19.8	В	19.2	В	22.4	С
			SBL	34.8	С	45.8	D	21.6	С	28.4	С	27.9	С	32.9	C
			SBTR	15.6	В	22.4	С	16.1	В	14.4	В	14.7	В	19.4	В
			Overall	24.2	С	28.0	C	21.6	С	24.4	С	19.6	В	24.4	С
			WBL	14.7**	В	22.0**	С	12.4**	В	19.8**	С	5.3**	Α	23.1**	С
	Seven Mile Road	Stop	WBR		<del></del>	ee		12.1	Fr			0.0	Fr		-
24	& 	(NB Hines &	NB	17.2**	С	33.3**	D	13.0**	В	35.5**	D	12.1**	В	73.5**	F
	Hines Drive	WBL 7 Mile)	SBL	4.9**	A	4.0**	A	4.0**	A	3.8**	A	3.4**	A	4.2**	Α
	Seven Mile Road		EBL	7.7	Α	8.8	Α	7.9	Α	8.7	Α	7.8	Α	8.7	Α
25	&	Stop	WB	1.1	<u> </u>	ee		7.0	Fr			7.0	Fr		
	River Street	(Minor)	SB	11.0	В	13.8	В	10.9	В	14.1	В	10.9	В	14.0	В
			EBT	11.7	В	15.1	С	11.6	В	14.5	В	11.6	В	14.5	В
	SB Northville Road &	Stop/Yield	EBR	12.4	В	14.3	В	11.0	В	13.9	В	11.0	В	13.9	В
26	N. Seven Mile	(Minor)	WB	14.2	В	91.3	F	14.2	В	87.8	F	14.2	В	88.2	F
	Road	,	SB		<del></del>	ee			Fr				Fr		
	NB Northville Road		EBL	14.8	В	33.8	D	15.4	С	32.7	D	15.4	С	32.7	D
27	&	Yield	NBTL	4.8	A	5.9	A	4.8	A	6.2	A	4.8	A	6.2	A
۷1	N. Seven Mile Road	(Minor)	NBT			ee	1 .,		Fr		1		Fr		



				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO\	/ID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak
				Dolay	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			WBL	21.6	С	22.5	С	21.6	С	24.9	С	21.6	С	24.9	С
			WBR	10.0	В	16.0	В	9.6	Α	15.4	В	9.6	Α	15.4	В
	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road		NBT	41.7	D	142.7	F	53.6	D	120.1	F	53.6	D	120.1	F
28		Signalized	NBTR	60.7	Е	144.8	F	71.9	Е	123.3	F	71.9	Е	123.3	F
			SBL	62.7	Е	49.3	D	38.2	D	43.2	D	38.2	D	43.2	D
			SBT	11.3	В	12.3	В	11.4	В	12.1	В	11.4	В	12.1	В
			Overall	39.0	D	63.9	Ε	37.8	D	54.4	D	37.8	D	54.4	D

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates no vehicle volume present \*\* Indicates SimTraffic delay was utilized

#### **Table 4.2: Background Intersection Mitigation Summary**

Additional mitigation measure and/or delays identified with Background conditional are highlighted in green.

	Intersection	Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID)	Scenario #2 (Both Closed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)
2	Randolph Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
8	Main Street & Hutton Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended		n/a
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Si	gnal Timing Optimization Reco	mmended
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended  Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
22	Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence	operations. Queue lengths w	lations indicates acceptable vere minimal and vehicles were gaps in traffic.	n/a
23	Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500-ft of storage length. is recommended.	n/a	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500-ft of storage length. is recommended.
24	Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive	,	n/a	Delays on the NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.
26/ 27	Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road	Delays for WB Stop of	Signal Recommended control approach, northbound left-	turn sight distance limitations.
28	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road		gnal Timing Optimization Reco	



**Table 4.3: Background Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary** 

		1 0.1010	. Dackgro							, 0.0 0	•	· · · · · ·			
				Scena	io #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenar	io #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	io #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM P	eak	AM Po	eak	PM Pe	eak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
		#1 & #3	EB	25.8	С	28.1	С	11.1	В	13.9	В	26.3	С	26.8	С
	Randolph Street	Signalized	WB	20.8	С	18.3	В	9.8	Α	11.3	В	21.7	С	19.2	В
2	&	/	NB	1.0	Α	1.9	Α	15.1	С	30.5	D	1.0	Α	2.0	Α
	Center Street	#2 Stop (All-Way)	SB	7.9	Α	10.2	В	40.3	Е	31.0	D	6.4	Α	8.4	Α
Ш		(Mil-VVay)	Overall	8.1	Α	10.5	В	28.1	D	27.1	D	7.0	Α	9.0	Α
			EBTL			20.9	С								
		Scenario #1	EBR			17.8	В								
	Main Street	Signalized	WBTL			17.4	В								
8	Wall Street	/	WBR	No Cha	nge	25.1	С		No C	hange			No Ch	nange	
	Hutton Street	#2 & #3 Stop	NB		9-	8.6	Α								
		(All-Way)	SBTL			11.5	В								
		, ,,	SBR			8.3	Α								
			Overall			18.2	В								
			EBTL			35.1	D		ĺ	15.5	В			15.5	В
	Main Street		EBTR			23.1	С			15.3	В			15.3	В
		<b>0</b> : !: !	WBTL			18.2	В	0		16.7	В			16.7	В
9	& Griswold Street	Signalized	WBTR	No Cha	inge	20.1	С	No Cha	inge	18.7	В	No Cha	ange	18.7	В
	Oriswold Otreet		NB			11.8	В			12.0	В			11.5	В
			SB Overall			19.4 <b>20.0</b>	В <b>С</b>			16.6 <b>15.8</b>	В <b>В</b>			15.4 <b>15.5</b>	В <b>В</b>
			EB	24.7	С	22.9	С	10.9	В	14.5	В	22.0	С	19.6	В
		#1 & #3	WB	25.9	С	23.7	С	13.7	В	23.6	С	25.2	С	25.1	С
12	Cady Street &	Signalized	NB	5.6	A	6.9	A	16.8	С	45.8	E	7.7	A	10.8	В
12	Center Street	#2 Stop	SB	0.6	Α	1.4	Α	11.2	В	15.3	С	0.7	A	1.7	A
		(All-Way)	Overall	5.6	A	6.4	A	14.2	В	30.4	D	8.9	A	10.8	В
			EBL	31.5	С	26.9	С	31.5	С	26.5	С	31.5	С	26.5	С
	SB Northville Road		EBR	14.8	В	13.7	В	13.0	В	13.6	В	13.0	В	13.6	В
	&	<b>0</b> : !: !	NBL	3.3	Α	9.8	Α	3.3	Α	9.8	Α	3.3	Α	9.8	Α
26	N. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBT	0.1	Α	0.3	Α	0.1	Α	0.3	Α	0.1	Α	0.3	Α
	Road		SB	25.4	С	31.8	С	25.4	С	30.9	С	25.4	С	30.9	С
			Overall	12.6	В	14.1	В	11.6	В	14.4	В	11.6	В	14.4	В
			WBL	35.2	D	33.5	С	35.2	D	42.4	D	35.2	D	42.4	D
			WBR	13.2	В	23.9	С	12.7	В	22.8	С	12.7	В	22.8	С
	Northville Road		NBT	29.6	С	44.5	D	32.7	С	40.4	D	32.7	С	40.4	D
28	& S. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBTR	34.5	С	45.8	D	36.7	D	41.8	D	36.7	D	41.8	D
	Road		SBL	32.9	С	30.3	С	29.1	С	26.3	С	29.1	С	26.3	С
			SBT	10.2	В	0.2	Α	10.2	В	0.2	Α	10.2	В	0.2	Α
			Overall	27.6	С	29.4	С	27.8	С	28.7	С	27.8	С	28.7	С



Table 4.4: Scenario 1 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)

Peak .																	
	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			lizatior rement		Increas	sed N	B LT S	torage		Round	dabout	
Period	Арргоасп	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	20.7	С	23	59	23.8	С	23	52	20.7	С	19	45	8.7	۸	242	407
	EBTR	34.1	С	184	301	52.9	D	207	331	34.1	С	174	289	0.7	Α	212	407
	WBL	38.3	D	23	57	29.4	С	23	54	38.3	D	21	56				
	WBT	18.3	В	49	108	28.1	С	65	119	18.3	В	51	105	4.7	Α	33	62
	WBR	17.4	В	15	42	23.6	С	14	37	17.4	В	11	34				
AM	NBL	20.2	В	27	62	21.4	С	28	64	20.2	С	25	62				
	NBT	22.2	C	282	505	53.9	D	416	653	19.0	В	218	406	12.5	В	2004	3555
	NBR	22.2	C	202	505	55.9	D	410	055	11.3	В	33	68				
	SBL	34.8	С	62	135	30.1	С	46	79	29.1	С	57	130	5.2	Α	100	228
	SBTR	15.6	В	127	212	28.4	С	177	278	15.6	В	124	204	5.2	А	100	220
	Overall	24.2	С	N/A	N/A	42.4	D	N/A	N/A	22.6	С	N/A	N/A	8.6	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	33.5	С	21	61	32.0	С	24	92	33.5	С	24	64	10.8	В	61	165
	EBTR	27.0	С	151	245	55.9	Е	222	355	27.0	С	160	263	10.0	Ь	01	100
	WBL	40.5	D	77	175	38.2	D	102	251	40.5	D	116	255				
	WBT	28.1	С	180	296	53.7	D	236	372	28.1	С	277	504	9.1	Α	262	713
	WBR	18.5	В	64	180	27.7	С	84	221	18.5	В	143	422				
PM	NBL	43.0	D	51	73	30.9	С	48	74	43.0	D	144	288				
	NBT	28.9	С	3384	6126	59.7	Е	3472	6322	21.2	С	306	515	18.0	С	4507	8002
	NBR	20.9	C	3304	0120	33.1		3472	0322	11.6	В	38	93				
	SBL	45.8	D	81	187	30.9	С	102	279	33.0	С	87	215	14.9	В	517	535
	SBTR	22.4	С	222	346	39.5	D	374	568	22.4	С	245	387	14.5	ט	317	555
	Overall	28.0	С	N/A	N/A	48.6	D	N/A	N/A	25.2	С	N/A	N/A	13.5	В	N/A	N/A

Table 4.5: Scenario 2 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons		_	lizatior rement		Increas	sed N	B LT S	torage		Round	dabout	
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	20.5	С	12	36	18.7	В	9	29	20.5	С	9	33	7.0	Α	67	125
	EBTR	30.0	С	149	249	33.2	С	178	322	30.0	С	162	278	7.0	А	67	120
	WBL	40.8	D	44	88	21.8	С	40	79	40.8	D	45	105				
	WBT	18.9	В	58	109	20.4	С	54	114	18.9	В	66	135	4.0	Α	39	79
	WBR	16.8	В	6	23	16.7	В	7	23	16.8	В	7	22				
AM	NBL	22.5	С	40	73	22.2	С	34	68	22.5	С	34	82				
	NBT	16.9	В	201	376	31.3	С	234	410	15.1	В	146	275	6.2	Α	198	393
	NBR	10.9	Ь	201	370	31.3	C	234	410	11.3	В	34	85				
	SBL	21.6	С	19	65	22.1	С	17	48	18.7	В	18	50	5.0	Α	71	152
	SBTR	16.1	В	105	188	30.8	С	129	226	16.1	В	104	175	5.0	٨	11	132
	Overall	21.6	С	N/A	N/A	29.1	С	N/A	N/A	20.9	С	N/A	N/A	5.8	Α	N/A	N/A



Peak	Annraach	Exis	ting (	Condit	ions			lizatior ement		Increas	sed N	B LT S	torage	ı	Round	dabout	
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	31.4	С	17	44	25.5	С	18	44	31.4	С	20	54	7.5	Α	68	132
	EBTR	29.0	С	163	269	45.0	D	244	370	29.0	С	141	222	7.5	А	00	132
	WBL	53.1	D	115	247	35.3	D	90	207	53.1	D	103	212				
	WBT	26.8	С	210	439	34.8	С	205	346	26.8	С	190	374	5.8	Α	155	267
	WBR	18.0	В	96	379	21.7	С	52	203	18.0	В	75	298				
PM	NBL	21.0	С	45	76	21.0	С	44	73	21.0	С	54	110				
	NBT	19.8	В	282	497	40.7	D	576	1076	16.4	В	172	328	8.3	Α	783	1355
	NBR	19.0	D	202	497	40.7	U	370	1070	11.7	В	41	92				
	SBL	28.4	С	39	88	25.0	С	40	73	22.8	С	40	79	6.3	Α	128	246
	SBTR	14.4	В	114	189	26.3	С	149	234	14.4	В	112	177	0.3	А	120	240
	Overall	24.4	С	N/A	N/A	35.2	D	N/A	N/A	23.2	С	N/A	N/A	7.0	Α	N/A	N/A

Table 4.6: Scenario 3 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Background)

Peak	Annuacah	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			lizatior ement		Increas	sed N	BLTS	torage	ı	Round	dabout	:
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	21.6	С	16	47	21.6	С	18	41	21.6	С	24	54	5.7	Α	49	82
	EBTR	23.5	С	112	196	31.8	С	141	239	23.5	С	111	182	5.1	^	73	02
	WBL	27.3	С	17	45	23.2	С	14	46	27.3	С	17	42				
	WBT	19.0	В	67	124	26.3	С	85	153	19.0	В	61	115	4.2	Α	38	74
	WBR	17.2	В	10	24	21.0	С	16	41	17.2	В	13	39				
AM	NBL	18.4	В	19	47	15.3	В	33	72	18.4	В	18	48				
	NBT	19.2	В	224	418	28.4	С	282	544	17.1	В	176	318	7.6	Α	278	434
	NBR	13.2	Ь	224	410	20.4	C	202	544	11.2	В	31	85				
	SBL	27.9	С	40	99	18.6	В	28	57	24.4	С	41	83	4.9	Α	69	140
	SBTR	14.7	В	114	197	20.1	С	114	197	14.7	В	110	185	4.5	А	09	140
	Overall	19.6	В	N/A	N/A	25.8	С	N/A	N/A	18.5	В	N/A	N/A	5.9	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	30.4	С	50	166	27.5	С	21	90	30.4	С	20	53	9.6	Α	158	354
	EBTR	27.7	С	157	290	48.4	D	227	362	27.7	С	153	253	9.0	А	130	334
	WBL	39.6	D	58	157	30.1	С	68	175	39.6	D	63	139				
	WBT	25.5	С	226	488	37.3	D	193	312	25.5	О	164	251	6.6	Α	394	658
	WBR	18.2	В	123	437	24.4	С	57	166	18.2	В	51	136				
PM	NBL	31.8	С	40	80	24.9	С	48	74	31.8	С	71	147				
	NBT	00.4		1071	5000	45.0	,	47.14	0054	18.5	В	224	376	11.2	В	3036	6667
	NBR	22.4	С	1674	5082	45.9	D	1741	3254	11.5	В	38	92				
	SBL	32.9	С	46	136	26.2	С	98	280	26.7	С	65	184	0.0		504	500
	SBTR	19.4	В	155	313	35.6	D	326	528	19.4	В	208	343	9.8	Α	524	532
	Overall	24.4	С	N/A	N/A	39.3	D	N/A	N/A	22.9	С	N/A	N/A	9.3	Α	N/A	N/A



#### 5 SITE TRIP GENERATION

The number of AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development was forecast based on data published by ITE in the *Trip Generation Manual*, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition. The proposed development includes single family, attached housing, multi-family units and commercial uses. The following ITE Trip Generation Manual land uses were determined to be the best fit for the proposed development.

## Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)

· A single-family detached housing site includes any single-family detached home on an individual lot.

## Single-Family Attached Housing (LUC 215)

• Single-family attached housing includes any single-family housing unit that shares a wall with an adjoining dwelling unit, whether the walls are for living space, a vehicle garage, or storage space. Includes duplexes and townhouses/rowhouses, joined side-by-side in a row and each with an outside entrance.

## Mid-Rise Multi-Family Home (LUC 221)

 Mid-rise multifamily housing includes apartments and condominiums located in a building that has between four and 10 floors of living space. Access to individual dwelling units is through an outside building entrance, a lobby, elevator, and a set of hallways.

# Strip Retail Plaza <40k SF (LUC 822)

• A strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. Each study site in this land use has less than 40,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA).

Internal trip capture is the portion of trips generated by a mixed-used development that would begin and end within the development; resulting in no additional trips added to the adjacent road network. The internal trip capture spreadsheet for the proposed development is provided in **Appendix A**. Additionally, a portion of the site-generated commercial trips are already present on the adjacent road network and are interrupted to visit the site. These trips are known as "pass-by" trips and result in turning movements at the site driveways, but do not increase traffic volumes on the adjacent road network. The percentage of pass-by trips was determined based on the rates published by in ITE Trip Generation, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

**Table 5.1: Trip Generation Summary** 

	ITE			Average Daily	AM P	eak Ho	ur (vph)	PM P	eak Hou	ır (vph)
Land Use	Code	Amount	Units	Traffic (vpd)	ln	Out	Total	ln	Out	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	39	DU	424	8	24	32	26	15	41
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	259	DU	1,923	40	89	129	86	65	151
Multi-Family Home (Mid-Rise)	221	174	DU	784	15	50	65	41	27	68
	Tota						226	153	107	260
	Internal Ca						3	14	5	19
	New						223	139	102	241
Strip Retail Plaza (<40k SF)	822	17,374	SF	963	25	16	41	58	57	115
		Internal	Capture	190	2	1	3	5	14	19
		Pass-B	By (34%)	327	8	5	13	16	16	32
		Ne	w Trips	446	15	10	25	37	27	64
		To	tal Trips	4,094	88	179	267	211	164	375
	To	tal Internal	Capture	380	3	3	6	19	19	38
		Total I	Pass-By	327	8	5	13	16	16	32
		Total Ne	w Trips	3,387	77	171	248	176	129	305



## 6 SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The vehicular trips that would be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the study roads based on existing peak hour traffic patterns in the adjacent roadway network and the methodologies published by ITE.

Site Generated Traffic	Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)	Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed	Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only
	Figure 6.1	Figure 6.2	Figure 6.3

The adjacent street traffic volumes were used to develop the global traffic distribution. To determine trips distribution for residential developments using the adjacent street traffic it is assumed that the trips in the AM are home-to-work based trips, and in the PM are work-to-home based trips. Therefore, the global trip generation is based on trips in the AM going from the residential development exiting the study network and returning to the study network in the PM. The ITE trip distribution methodology assumes that new trips will return to their direction of origin, while pass-by trips enter and exit the development in their original direction of travel. The global site trip distributions used in the analysis are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

**Table 6.1: Site Generated Traffic Distribution** 

			New Trips				
Resid	lential				C	omi	mercial
AM	PM	To/From	Via		Al	М	PM
15%	9%		Center S	treet	13	%	13%
2%	2%	North	Hutton S	treet	29	%	2%
11%	9%		Griswold 9	Street	79	%	11%
16%	16%		Sheldon A	venue	18	%	16%
5%	6%	South	Hines D	rive	39	%	5%
14%	16%		Northville	Road	16	%	15%
19%	18%	East	7-Mile R	oad	13	%	15%
5%	7%		Randolph	Street	79	%	6%
2%	2%		Dunlap S		29	%	2%
2%	4%	West	Main St		59	%	2%
2%	2%		Cady St	reet	29	%	1%
7%	9%		Seven Mile		12	%	12%
100%	100%		Total		100	)%	100%
		Comm	ercial Pass-by T	rips			
F	rom / To		Via	AM			PM
No	rth to South	1	Center Street	43%			40%
So	uth to North		Center Street	30%			36%
Ea	ast to West		Cady Street	13%			13%
W	est to East		Cady Street	14%			11%
		Total		100%			100%

The vehicular traffic volumes shown in **Table 6.1** were distributed to the roadway network according to the global traffic distribution shown in **Table 7.1**. The proposed development plan has multiple site access points to the adjacent roadway network; therefore, the impact of the development is dispersed throughout the area study intersections. Additionally, the trips were routed to the roadway network based on the available roadway connectively associated with each of the roadway scenarios. For example, vehicles traveling from the site north on Center Street in Scenario 2 would utilize the detour route around the Center Street closure. The site generated traffic is shown on Figures 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3 for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 respectively.



# **FUTURE CONDITIONS (2028)**

The future conditions analysis evaluated the projected operations in 2028 with the proposed development for the three (3) scenarios as summarized below.

Section 7	Section 7.1	Section 7.2	Section 7.3
Future Conditions	Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)	Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed	Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only
Future Traffic Volumes	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic	Background Conditions + Site Generated Traffic

# SCENARIO 1 - BASELINE OPERATIONS (PRE-COVID)

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the site generated traffic volumes shown on Figure 6.1 which were added to the background 2028 traffic volumes shown on Figure 4.1 to calculate the future Scenario 1 traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.1. The results of the Scenario 1 future conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on Figure 2.1 the traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.1 in Appendix D.

## SCENARIO 2 - MAIN ST. & CENTER ST. CLOSED

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the site generated traffic volumes shown on Figure 6.2 which were added to the background 2028 traffic volumes shown on Figure 4.2 to calculate the future Scenario 1 traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.2. The results of the Scenario 1 future conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on Figure 2.1 the traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.2 in Appendix D.

## SCENARIO 3 - MAIN ST. CLOSED ONLY

The traffic volumes for this analysis utilized the site generated traffic volumes shown on Figure 6.3 which were added to the background 2028 traffic volumes shown on Figure 4.3 to calculate the future Scenario 1 traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.3. The results of the Scenario 1 future conditions analysis were based on the lane use and traffic control shown on Figure 2.1 and the traffic volumes shown on Figure 7.3 in Appendix D.

## **FUTURE CONDITIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

The results of the future conditions analysis summarized in **Table 7.1** and are presented in **Appendix D.** The results of the background conditions analysis indicate that all study intersection approaches and movements are expected to operate similar to background conditions with the following additional delays, highlighted in Table 7.1.

In order to improve traffic operations to a LOS D or better for all intersection approaches and movements in the future condition scenarios, the mitigation measures evaluated in the existing and background conditions analyses were investigated in addition to mitigation measures identified as necessary to accommodate the projected site traffic volumes. The recommended mitigation measures are summarized in Table 7.2 and the results of the analysis with the recommendations is summarized in **Table 7.3**.

Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID) Scenario #2 (Both Closed) Scenario #3 (Main Closed) **AM Peak PM Peak AM Peak PM Peak AM Peak PM Peak** Intersection **Control Approach** Delay Delay Delay Delay Delay Delay LOS LOS LOS LOS LOS LOS (s/veh) (s/veh) (s/veh) (s/veh) (s/veh) (s/veh) EB Free Free Free Randolph Street Stop **WBL** 1 7.8 Α 8.3 Α 7.7 Α 8.2 Α 7.7 Α 8.2 Α (Minor) Wing Street 12.2 В С С С NB 21.0 11.3 В 18.3 11.6 В 17.0 ΕB 48.3 Ε 367.5 F 20.5 С 44.7 Ε 31.4 D 237.6 F Randolph Street Ε F D 122.4 F **WB** 39.7 257.3 19.8 C 29.9 D 34.5 Stop 2 & (Minor) **NBL** 9.4 Α 9.5 Α 8.9 Α 8.6 Α 9.1 Α 9.2 Α

Table 7.1: Future Conditions Analysis Summary

9.2

Α

8.1

Α

8.5

Α

8.8

Α

9.3

SBL

8.6

Α

Center Street

				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	io #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	-ak
	intersection	Control	Арргоасп	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Dolov	LOS	Dolov	LOS	Dolov	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			EB	8.7	Α	10.1	В	10.3	В	13.1	В	8.5	Α	9.6	Α
	Dunlap Street	Cton	WB	9.2	Α	13.0	В	17.4	С	31.6	D	8.9	Α	11.7	В
3	&	Stop (All-Way)	NB	8.7	Α	12.0	В	13.5	В	33.5	D	8.5	Α	11.0	В
	Wing Street	(All-VVay)	SB	9.0	Α	10.7	В	11.2	В	16.5	С	8.9	В	10.1	В
			Overall	8.9	Α	11.8	В	14.4	В	27.7	D	8.7	Α	10.9	В
		Cooperie #1	EBL	22.1	С	26.4	С	16.3	С	20.6	С	27.5	С	47.8	D
		Scenario #1 Signalized	EBTR	18.9	В	19.5	В	10.0	В	11.8	В	18.7	В	20.1	С
			WBL	19.2	В	17.6	В		N,	,	,	19.0	В	20.2	С
	Center Street	Scenario #2	WBTR	20.4	С	21.5	С	12.8	В	22.6	С	24.3	С	52.7	D
4	& D I Ot I	Stop	NBL	1.7	Α	4.0	Α		N.			1.2	Α	2.7	Α
	Dunlap Street	(All-Way)	NBTR	1.4	Α	2.2	Α		N,			1.2	Α	1.3	Α
		Scenario #3	SBL	6.6	Α	6.3	Α	16.0	С	22.9	С	6.2	Α	6.0	Α
		Signalized	SBTR	8.2	Α	10.6	В	14.1	В	14.9	В	7.5	Α	9.2	Α
		,	Overall	7.9	Α	9.9	Α	14.5	В	19.8	С	9.5	Α	17.7	В
	<b>Dunlap Street</b>	Stop	EBL	7.7	Α	8.2	Α	8.1	Α	8.7	Α	8.0	Α	8.7	Α
5	&	(Minor)	WB		Fr				Fr		1		Fr		,
	Hutton Street	()	SB	11.0	В	15.0	С	14.5	В	23.5	С	14.1	В	23.3	С
			EB	10.2	В	11.6	В	12.2	В	14.8	В	9.9	Α	10.4	Α
	Main Street	01	WB	9.1	Α	10.4	В	10.4	В	13.8	В	8.6	Α	9.0	Α
6	&	Stop (All-Way)	NB	9.0	Α	11.8	В	11.2	В	20.5	С	8.8	Α	10.2	В
	Wing Street	(All-Way)	SB	10.1	В	10.8	В	16.5	С	22.1	С	9.5	Α	9.6	Α
			Overall	9.8	Α	11.2	В	13.6	В	18.8	С	9.4	Α	10.0	Α
		#1 & #3	EB	20.2	В	20.7	С	7.4	Α	7.6	Α	20.1	С	18.4	С
	Main Street	Signalized	WB	19.5	В	21.0	С		N,	/A	ı		N.	/A	
7	&		NB	10.2	В	10.4	В	8.6	Α	9.2	Α	9.3	Α	9.2	Α
	Center Street	#2 Stop	SB	1.2	Α	2.0	Α		N,	/A	I	1.0	Α	1.7	Α
		(All-Way)	Overall	9.9	Α	10.7	В	8.0	Α	8.6	Α	7.9	Α	6.4	Α
			EBTL	0.3	Α	0.3	Α		N,		l		N.	/A	
			EBR	0.0	Α	0.1	Α		N.					/A	
		Scenario #1 Signalized	WBTL	12.8	В	4.6	Α	9.7	Α	10.5	В	9.6	Α	10.4	В
	Main Street	Jighalizeu /	WBR	13.7	В	5.9	Α	11.0	В	16.9	С	10.9	В	16.8	С
8	&	#2 & #3	NB	17.6	В	19.8	В	11.4	В	12.7	В	10.8	В	12.6	В
	Hutton Street	Stop	SBTL	21.8	С	106.8	F	13.6	В	18.1	С	13.4	В	17.9	С
		(All-Way)	SBR	16.5	В	16.5	В	9.1	Α	10.7	В	9.1	Α	10.5	В
			Overall	12.9	В	29.7	С	11.7	В	15.4	С	11.5	В	15.3	С
П			EBTL	12.1	В	25.1	С	9.6	Α	10.4	В	9.6	Α	10.4	В
			EBTR	10.2	В	18.3	В	9.7	Α	10.4	В	9.7	Α	10.4	В
	Main Street		WBTL	10.2	В	12.1	В	10.5	В	11.3	В	10.5	В	11.3	В
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Signalized	WBTR	10.5	В	12.9	В	10.9	В	12.2	В	10.9	В	12.2	В
			NB	15.9	В	17.8	В	17.0	В	18.1	В	16.4	В	17.1	С
			SB	17.3	В	51.9	D	17.9	В	35.8	D	18.0	В	28.4	С
			Overall	13.2	В	26.3	С	13.7	В	19.5	В	13.5	В	17.0	В



				Scena	rio #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	io #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenar	io #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM P	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM P	eak	PM Pe	eak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
	Main Street	04	EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee	,		Fr	ee	
10	&	Stop (Minor)	WBL	7.9	Α	8.9	Α	8.0	Α	8.6	Α	8.0	Α	8.6	Α
	Cady Street	( - /	NB	10.0	В	20.3	С	10.6	В	16.8	С	10.6	В	16.8	С
			EB	8.5	Α	9.0	Α	9.6	Α	10.0	Α	8.3	Α	8.6	Α
	Cady Street	Cton	WB	8.1	Α	8.7	Α	9.4	Α	10.2	В	7.9	Α	8.4	Α
11	&	Stop (All-Way)	NB	8.2	Α	9.4	Α	9.5	Α	11.5	В	8.0	Α	9.0	Α
	Wing Street	( - )/	SB	8.9	В	9.5	Α	12.2	В	13.1	В	8.6	Α	9.1	Α
			Overall	8.6	Α	9.2	Α	10.8	В	11.7	В	8.3	Α	8.8	Α
			EB	21.6	С	47.7	Е	15.9	С	29.0	D	41.7	Е	216.5	F
12	Cady Street &	Stop	WB	58.4	F	258.2	F	67.5	F	564.0	F	410.3	F	3867.1	F
12	Center Street	(Minor)	NBL	8.4	Α	9.3	Α	7.6	Α	7.8	Α	8.3	Α	8.8	Α
			SBL	9.2	Α	9.1	Α	8.3	Α	8.6	Α	9.2	Α	9.4	Α
	Cady Street		EBL	7.8	Α	7.6	Α	8.4	Α	8.4	Α	8.3	Α	8.1	Α
13	&	Stop	WBL	7.6	Α	7.5	Α	7.6	Α	7.8	Α	7.6	Α	7.7	Α
13	Hutton Street /	(Minor)	NB	12.1	В	11.8	В	19.7	С	27.3	D	16.1	С	19.1	С
	N. Site Drive		SB	12.9	В	11.9	В	14.3	В	26.8	D	12.9	В	16.3	С
	Cady Street		EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
14	&	Stop (Minor)	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	Church Street	(IVIIIIOI)	SB	10.5	В	10.0	В	12.0	В	11.9	В	11.8	В	11.2	В
П			EB	12.2	В	17.3	С	15.1	С	19.8	С	14.2	В	16.8	С
	Cady Street	Stop	WB	9.1	Α	11.4	В	10.3	В	11.2	В	10.2	В	11.0	В
15	& Griswold Street	(Minor)	NBL	7.4	Α	7.6	Α	7.7	Α	7.9	Α	7.7	Α	7.8	Α
	Chowold Choot		SBL	7.3	Α	7.5	Α	7.4	Α	7.4	Α	7.4	Α	7.4	Α
	Beal Street		EB	4.9**	Α	4.8**	Α	5.0**	Α	5.1**	Α	4.8**	Α	4.9**	Α
16	Bear Street &	Stop	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee	1		Fr	ee	
	Griswold Street	(EB & SB)	SB	4.1**	Α	4.2**	Α	3.9**	Α	4.2**	Α	3.8**	Α	4.2**	Α
	Beal Street		EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
17	&	Stop	WBL	7.5	Α	7.6	Α	7.5	Α	7.5	Α	7.5	Α	7.5	Α
	River Street	(Minor)	NB	9.7	Α	10.7	В	9.6	Α	10.3	В	9.6	Α	10.3	В
	Beal Street		EB	10.7	В	13.3	В	9.9	Α	11.9	В	9.9	Α	11.9	В
18	&	Stop	NBL	8.1	Α	8.9	Α	8.1	Α	8.8	Α	8.1	Α	8.8	Α
	Northville Road	(Minor)	SB		Fr					ee			!	ee	
	Coven Mila Dasal		EBL	1.8**	Α	3.6**	Α	2.2**	Α	1.2**	Α	1.6**	Α	1.8**	Α
40	Seven Mile Road &	Stop	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
19	First Street /	(Minor)	SB	14.5**	В	29.6**	D	14.6**	В	10.8**	В	6.2**	Α	12.4**	В
			SW	6.4**	Α	11.8**	В	9.9**	Α	6.8**	Α	8.9**	Α	9.4**	Α



				Scena	rio #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak
	intersection	Control	Дриоден	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Dolou	LOS	Dolov	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			EB	7.5	Α	8.3	Α	8.2	Α	8.6	Α	7.5	Α	8.1	Α
	Fairbrook Street		WB	7.0	Α	8.1	Α	7.6	Α	8.2	Α	6.9	Α	7.8	Α
20	&	Stop	NB	7.6	Α	8.6	Α	8.4	Α	8.9	Α	7.5	Α	8.3	Α
	Wing Street	(All-Way)	SB	7.6	Α	8.4	Α	9.1	Α	9.5	Α	7.5	Α	8.2	Α
			Overall	7.5	Α	8.4	Α	8.6	Α	9.0	Α	7.4	Α	8.1	Α
			EB	33.6	D	133.2	F	17.7	С	24.7	С	24.5	С	62.2	F
	Fairbrook Street	Stop	WB	37.3	Е	134.8	F	23.2	С	30.1	D	28.2	D	68.1	F
21	& Center Street	(Minor)	NBL	8.6	Α	10.3	В	8.4	Α	8.5	Α	8.4	Α	9.7	Α
	Genter Street		SBL	9.4	Α	9.5	Α	8.3	Α	8.7	Α	9.1	Α	9.1	Α
			EBL	7.8	Α	9.3	Α	7.9	Α	9.0	Α	7.9	Α	9.0	Α
	Seven Mile Road &	Stop	WBL	8.9	Α	8.4	Α	8.2	Α	8.1	Α	8.2	Α	8.5	Α
22	Wing Street / St.	(Minor)	NB	15.6	С	22.9	С	13.4	В	18.3	С	13.1	В	21.7	С
	Lawrence Blvd		SB	18.4	С	44.4	E	24.1	С	114.7	F	15.1	С	34.8	D
			EBL	20.8	С	33.8	С	20.6	С	31.7	С	21.7	С	30.7	С
			EBTR	34.3	С	27.1	С	30.2	С	29.1	С	23.5	С	27.8	С
			WBL	38.4	D	40.9	D	41.1	D	54.0	D	27.4	С	39.9	D
	Seven Mile Road		WBT	18.3	В	28.4	С	18.9	В	27.0	С	19.0	В	25.6	С
00	&	0	WBR	17.6	В	19.0	В	17.0	В	18.5	В	17.4	В	18.7	В
23	Sheldon Avenue /	Signalized	NBL	21.5	С	47.4	D	24.4	С	22.0	С	19.5	В	33.9	С
	Center Street		NBTR	22.9	С	32.0	С	17.2	В	20.8	С	19.7	В	23.8	С
			SBL	38.3	D	53.7	D	22.8	С	30.9	С	30.0	С	36.1	D
			SBTR	16.2	В	23.7	С	16.9	В	14.8	В	15.3	В	20.2	С
			Overall	24.6	С	29.5	С	21.9	С	24.8	С	20.0	В	25.1	С
			WBL	20.2**	С	21.8**	С	8.4**	Α	21.5**	С	12.8**	В	43.0**	Е
24	Seven Mile Road &	Stop (NB Hines &	WBR		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
24	Hines Drive	WBL 7 Mile)	NB	18.5**	С	49.8**	Е	14.4**	В	30.3**	D	15.0**	С	95.1**	F
		ŕ	SBL	5.1**	Α	4.0**	Α	3.6**	Α	3.7**	Α	3.9**	Α	4.3**	Α
	Seven Mile Road	01	EBL	7.8	Α	8.9	Α	7.8	Α	8.8	Α	7.8	Α	8.8	Α
25	&	Stop (Minor)	WB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	River Street	(14111151)	SB	12.0	В	15.7	С	11.8	В	15.8	С	11.8	В	15.9	С
	SB Northville Road		EBT	12.1	В	15.6	С	12.0	В	15.0	В	12.0	В	15.0	В
26	&	Stop/Yield	EBR	13.2	В	15.0	С	11.5	В	14.6	В	11.5	В	14.6	В
20	N. Seven Mile	(Minor)	WB	15.4	С	135.0	F	15.4	С	130.8	F	15.4	С	131.4	F
	Road		SB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	NB Northville Road		EBL	15.5	С	41.0	Ε	16.2	С	38.1	Е	16.2	С	38.1	Е
27	& N. Seven Mile	Yield (Minor)	NBTL	4.9	Α	6.0	Α	4.9	Α	6.3	Α	4.9	Α	6.3	Α
	Road	(14111101)	NBT		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	



				Scenar	io #1	(Pre-CO	VID)	Scenari	o #2 (	Both Clo	sed)	Scenari	o #3 (	Main Clo	sed)
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			WBL	21.6	С	22.5	С	21.6	С	24.9	С	21.6	С	24.9	С
			WBR	10.2	В	16.9	В	9.8	Α	16.4	В	9.8	Α	16.4	В
	Northville Road		NBT	43.6	D	157.3	F	58.4	Е	133.4	F	58.4	Е	133.4	F
28	& S. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBTR	60.7	Е	158.9	F	71.9	Е	136.1	F	71.9	Е	136.1	F
	Road		SBL	82.4	F	56.4	Е	43.8	D	47.9	D	43.8	D	47.9	D
			SBT	11.4	В	12.4	В	11.5	В	12.2	В	11.5	В	12.2	В
			Overall	44.3	D	69.7	Ε	39.4	D	59.2	Ε	39.4	D	59.2	D
	Cady Street	01	EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
29	&	Stop (Minor)	WBL		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	_
	N.E. Site Dr.	(11111101)	NB	10.7	В	9.8	Α	11.6	В	11.3	В	11.3	В	10.7	В
	Griswold Street	04	EB	9.0	Α	9.7	Α	8.9	Α	9.3	Α	8.9	Α	9.3	Α
30	&	Stop (Minor)	NBL	7.3	Α	7.5	Α	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.3	Α	7.5	Α
	E. Site Dr.	()	SB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	Griswold Street	Cton	EB	9.2	Α	9.5	Α	9.3	Α	9.1	Α	9.3	Α	9.2	Α
31	&	Stop (Minor)	NBL	0.0*	Α	7.5	Α	0.0*	Α	7.4	Α	0.0*	Α	7.5	Α
	S.E. Site Dr.	(11111101)	SB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	Center Street	Cton	WB	27.3	D	41.1	Е	17.8	С	20.0	С	23.3	С	32.6	D
32	&	Stop (Minor)	NB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	Proposed Beal St.	(11111101)	SBL	9.5	Α	9.5	Α	8.4	Α	8.8	Α	9.0	Α	9.3	Α
	Fairbrook Street	Cton	EB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	_
33	&	Stop (Minor)	WBL	7.3	Α	7.4	Α	7.4	Α	7.5	Α	7.3	Α	7.5	Α
	S.W. Site Dr.	(	NB	8.5	Α	8.7	Α	8.8	Α	9.2	Α	8.5	Α	8.8	Α
	Wing Street	Ct	WB	8.9	Α	9.8	Α	9.7	Α	10.8	В	8.9	Α	9.6	Α
34	&	Stop (Minor)	NB		Fr	ee			Fr	ee			Fr	ee	
	S.W. Site Dr.	()	SBL	0.0*	Α	7.5	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α	0.0*	Α

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates no vehicle volume present \*\* Indicates SimTraffic delay was utilized



# **Table 7.2: Future Intersection Mitigation Summary**

Mitigation measures and delays recommended for Background conditions are highlighted in green and additional delays and/mitigation measures identified with Future conditions are highlighted in blue.

	Interportion	Seemania #4 (Dre COVID)	Seemania #2 (Bath Classed)	Seemaria #2 (Main Classel)
	Intersection	Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID)	Scenario #2 (Both Closed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)
2	Randolph Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
8	Main Street & Hutton Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended		n/a
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	nmended
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
21	Fairbrook Street & Center Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.
22	Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence	A review of network simuloperations. Queue lengths we able to find g	n/a	
23	Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500-ft of storage length. is recommended.	n/a	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500- ft of storage length. is recommended.
24	Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive	Delays on the NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.	n/a	Delays on the WB and NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.
26/ 27	Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road	Delays for WB Stop co	Signal Recommended ntrol approach, northbound left-t	urn sight distance limitations.
28	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	mmended
32	Center Street & Proposed Beal Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	n/a



**Table 7.3: Future Conditions with Mitigation Analysis Summary** 

			7.5. T utul				_						. 40.4	Main OL	الدو و
						(Pre-CO				Both Clo	•			Main Clo	
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM P	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM Pe	eak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
		#1 & #3 Signalized	EB	25.8	С	28.4	С	11.4	В	14.5	В	26.3	С	27.1	С
	Randolph Street		WB	20.8	С	18.2	В	10.0	Α	11.6	В	21.6	С	19.0	В
2	&		NB	1.1	Α	2.0	Α	16.6	С	35.0	D	1.1	Α	2.1	Α
	Center Street	#2 Stop (All-Way)	SB	8.1	Α	10.7	В	47.5	Е	37.6	Е	6.6	Α	8.9	Α
		(Mil-VVay)	Overall	8.1	Α	10.8	В	32.3	D	31.6	D	7.0	Α	9.4	Α
			EBTL			20.9	С								
		0	EBR			18.0	В								
		Scenario #1 Signalized	WBTL			17.4	В								
8	Main Street &		WBR	No Cho	ngo	25.1	С		No C	hongo			No Ch	2020	
0	∾ Hutton Street	#2 & #3	NB	No Cha	inge	8.7	Α		NO C	hange			NO CI	nange	
		Stop (All-Way)	SBTL			11.8	В								
		(Mil-VVay)	SBR			8.3	Α								
			Overall			18.0	В								
			EBTL			35.3	D			15.6	В			15.6	В
			EBTR			23.1	С			15.3	В			15.3	В
	Main Street	Signalized	WBTL			18.2	В			16.7	В			16.7	В
9	&		WBTR	No Cha	ange	20.1	С	No Change		18.7	В	No Cha	inge	18.7	В
	Griswold Street		NB			12.0	В			12.2	В			11.7	В
			SB			20.4	С			17.3 B		]		15.8	В
			Overall			20.3	С			16.0	В				В
		#1 & #3	EB	24.7	С	22.9	С	11.2	В	15.5	С	21.7	С	19.5	В
	Cady Street	Signalized	WB	25.9	С	23.8	С	14.2	В	26.9	D	25.1	С	25.7	С
12	&	1	NB	5.8	Α	7.1	Α	18.5	С	59.8	F	8.2	Α	11.3	В
	Center Street	#2 Stop (All-Way)	SB	0.6	Α	1.5	Α	11.6	В	17.2	С	0.8	Α	1.9	Α
		(All-vvay)	Overall	5.8	Α	6.6	Α	15.2	С	37.4	E	9.2	Α	11.2	В
			EBL	32.7	С	37.1	D	32.8	С	35.9	D	32.8	С	35.9	D
	SB Northville Road		EBR	16.0	В	16.9	В	13.9	В	16.8	В	13.9	В	16.8	В
26	&	Signalized	NBL	3.2	Α	5.7	Α	3.2	Α	5.7	Α	3.2	Α	5.7	Α
	N. Seven Mile Road	3.3	NBT	0.1	Α	0.3	Α	0.1	Α	0.2	Α	0.1	Α	0.2	Α
	Noau		SB	25.0	С	26.4	С	25.0	С	25.8	С	25.0	С	25.8	С
			Overall	13.0	В	12.4	В	12.0	В	12.6	В	12.0	В	12.6	В
			WBL	35.2	D	33.5	С	35.2	D	42.4	D	35.2	D	42.4	D
			WBR	13.4	В	26.0	С	12.9	В	24.7	С	12.9	В	24.7	С
	Northville Road		NBT	30.1	С	47.7	D	33.7	С	42.7	D	33.7	С	42.7	D
28	& S. Seven Mile	Signalized	NBTR	34.5	С	49.0	D	36.7	D	44.1	D	36.7	D	44.1	D
	Road		SBL	35.4	D	40.4	D	30.7	С	34.7	С	30.7	С	34.7	С
			SBT	10.3	В	4.3	Α	10.3	В	4.2	Α	10.3	В	4.2	Α
			Overall	28.1	С	33.0	С	28.1	С	31.6	С	28.1	С	31.6	С



Table 7.4: Scenario 1 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons	Signalization Improvements				Increas	sed N	B LT S	torage	Roundabout			
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	20.8	С	22	50	23.9	С	24	54	20.8	С	26	84	9.3	Α	219	435
	EBTR	34.3	С	191	306	53.6	D	209	365	34.3	С	195	327	9.5	А	213	455
	WBL	38.4	D	21	52	29.6	С	20	46	38.4	D	21	53				
	WBT	18.3	В	49	99	28.3	С	52	117	18.3	В	49	102	5.1	Α	35	78
	WBR	17.6	В	17	47	23.8	С	14	38	17.6	В	14	44				
AM	NBL	21.5	В	27	63	22.1	С	18	43	21.5	С	19	48				
	NBT 22.0	22.9	С	301	527	54.9	D	466	831	19.4	В	225	421	13.8	В	2174	3787
	NBR	22.3	O	30 1	321	J <del>T</del> .J		400	001	11.3	В	33	88				
	SBL	38.3	D	81	161	33.7	С	61	108	31.4	С	64	129	5.6	Α	144	308
	SBTR	16.2	В	127	235	29.8	С	144	234	16.2	В	135	229	5.0	^	144	
	Overall	24.6	С	N/A	N/A	43.0	D	N/A	N/A	23.0	С	N/A	N/A	9.2	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	33.8	С	21	50	31.6	С	25	95	33.8	С	37	94	11.4	В	112	271
	EBTR	27.1	С	150	237	54.1	D	213	331	27.1	С	158	267	11.4	Б	112	211
	WBL	40.9	D	80	182	37.4	D	90	235	40.9	D	110	239				
	WBT	28.4	С	193	308	52.3	D	252	420	28.4	С	216	387	10.7	В	422	774
	WBR	19.0	В	65	182	27.7	С	121	318	19.0	В	100	293				
PM	NBL	47.4	D	53	73	36.7	D	46	73	47.4	D	219	479				
	NBT	32.0	С	3986	7609	72.9	F	3639	6264	22.4	С	361	650	22.2	С	5215	9441
	NBR	32.0	U	3300	1009	12.3	ı	3039	0204	11.6	В	44	99				
	SBL	53.7	D	94	207	33.7	С	109	294	36.4	D	113	250	17.1	С	444	744
	SBTR	23.7	С	250	407	45.0	D	355	557	23.7	С	241	381	17.1	J		/ 44
	Overall	29.5	С	N/A	N/A	53.1	D	N/A	N/A	26.1	С	N/A	N/A	15.8	С	N/A	N/A

Table 7.5: Scenario 2 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)

Peak	Annroach	Existing Conditions				Signalization Improvements				Increased NB LT Storage				Roundabout					
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)		
	EBL	20.6	С	7	26	19.6	В	10	30	20.6	С	8	28	7.4	Α	64	139		
	EBTR	30.2	С	143	247	35.7	D	159	273	30.2	С	164	281	7.4	٨	04	133		
	WBL	41.1	D	45	92	23.1	С	38	78	41.1	D	52	115						
	WBT	18.9	В	62	119	21.5	С	62	126	18.9	В	67	142	4.0	Α	38	76		
	WBR	17.0	В	10	33	17.3	В	13	46	17.0	В	10	36						
AM	NBL	24.4	С	40	76	23.1	С	38	67	24.4	С	39	86						
	NBT	17.2	D	٥	В	210	393	33.0	С	244	467	15.3	В	162	292	6.4	Α	150	284
	NBR	17.2	Ь	210	393	33.0	C	244	407	11.3	В	35	86						
	SBL	22.8	С	25	73	22.5	С	24	56	19.8	В	21	53	5.4	Α	103	284		
	SBTR	16.9	В	117	210	33.4	С	141	235	16.9	В	121	203	5.4	A	103	∠04		
	Overall	21.9	С	N/A	N/A	30.9	С	N/A	N/A	21.2	С	N/A	N/A	6.0	Α	N/A	N/A		



Peak	Approach	Exis	Conditi	ions	Signalization Improvements				Increased NB LT Storage				Roundabout				
Period	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	31.7	С	16	42	26.7	С	15	48	31.7	С	20	50	7.8	٨	65	106
	EBTR	29.1	С	145	236	48.0	D	240	376	29.1	С	148	244	1.0	Α	65	100
	WBL	54.0	D	107	233	40.3	D	80	184	54.0	D	95	195		Α		
	WBT	27.0	С	215	438	37.1	D	182	290	27.0	С	173	335	6.3		183	364
	WBR	18.5	В	99	380	23.2	С	55	167	18.5	В	59	248				
PM	NBL	22.0	С	46	77	21.2	С	44	75	22.0	С	53	106				
	NBT	20.8	В	405	800	43.8	D	671	1348	17.0	В	181	334	9.1	Α	1070	2121
	NBR	20.0	D	400	000	43.0	U	071	1340	11.7	В	40	92				
	SBL	30.9	С	48	98	26.2	С	45	104	24.5	С	43	78	6.6	^	125	258
	SBTR	14.8	В	119	193	26.6	С	163	264	14.8	В	119	192	0.0	Α	135	200
	Overall	24.8	С	N/A	N/A	37.3	D	N/A	N/A	23.4	C	N/A	N/A	7.5	Α	N/A	N/A

Table 7.6: Scenario 3 - Center St. and Seven Mile Rd. Intersection Mitigation Summary (Future)

Peak	Approach	Exis	ting (	Conditi	ons			lizatior ement		Increas	sed N	B LT S	torage	Roundabout			
Period		Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Avg. (ft)	95th % (ft)
	EBL	21.7	С	18	42	22.2	С	19	52	21.7	С	19	49	6.0	Α	58	110
	EBTR	23.5	С	107	177	32.8	С	141	229	23.5	С	110	186	0.0	^	30	110
	WBL	27.4	С	15	42	23.9	С	11	30	27.4	С	18	49				
	WBT	19.0	В	67	123	27.1	С	69	127	19.0	В	66	121	4.4	Α	35	69
	WBR	17.4	В	17	48	21.7	С	16	48	17.4	В	16	49				
AM	NBL	19.5	В	26	64	15.6	В	28	63	19.5	В	20	53			247	
	NBT	19.7	В	224	393	29.6	С	264	481	17.5	В	188	323	8.0	Α		395
	NBR									11.2	В	21	68				
	SBL	30.0	С	56	133	19.4	В	32	59	26.1	С	49	104	5.2	Α	75	165
	SBTR	15.3	В	118	194	20.7	С	103	174	15.3	В	111	196		<i>,</i> ,		100
	Overall	20.0	В	N/A	N/A	26.5	С	N/A	N/A	18.9	В	N/A	N/A	6.2	Α	N/A	N/A
	EBL	30.7	С	53	150	28.5	С	18	43	30.7	С	20	53	10.1	В	104	246
	EBTR	27.8	С	154	248	50.8	D	212	329	27.8	С	164	274	10.1		10+	240
	WBL	39.9	D	63	166	31.7	С	70	193	39.9	D	84	189				
	WBT	25.6	С	257	549	39.2	D	217	344	25.6	С	175	293	7.4	Α	231	477
	WBR	18.7	В	158	488	25.7	С	86	222	18.7	В	51	141				
PM	NBL	33.9	С	39	79	26.0	С	47	72	33.9	С	87	235				
	NBT	23.8	С	1622	4470	50.2	D	1351	2281	19.3	В	246	446	12.8	В	2355	4890
	NBR	23.0	C	1022	4470	50.2	U	1331	2201	11.5	В	35	88				
	SBL	36.1	С	51	142	27.8	С	83	237	28.8	С	63	157	10.6	В	525	536
	SBTR	20.2	В	145	300	37.5	D	292	475	20.2	С	213	338	10.0	D	UZU	550
	Overall	25.1	С	N/A	N/A	41.7	D	N/A	N/A	23.4	С	N/A	N/A	10.3	В	N/A	N/A



## **CONCLUSIONS**

The study includes the evaluation of three (3) scenarios which are summarized below




Scenario 1 Baseline Operations (Pre-COVID)

- Pre-COVID 2018 traffic volumes grown to 2021
- Pre-COVID traffic operations



Scenario 2 Main St. & Center St. Closed

- 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes Collected
- **COVID Impacts and Road Closures**



Scenario 3 Main St. Closed Only 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes, adjusted to account for Center Street open **COVID Impacts and Road Closure** 

- All of the study intersections generally operate well with all Scenarios, with a few exceptions as noted below.
- The recommended improvements identified for existing and background conditions were found to mitigate the future intersection delays at the study intersections with the additional of the site generated traffic volumes.
- The additional delays noted for Background conditions are highlighted in green and additional delays from Future conditions are highlighted below in blue. No mitigation measures are recommended.
- No additional mitigation measures were identified with the additional site generated traffic in the Future conditions.
- The mitigations are generally the same across all evaluation scenarios. The operations and recommendations are summarized in Table 8.1 and shown on Figure 9



**Table 8.1: Analysis and Mitigation Summary** 

			and willigation ouriniary	
	Intersection	Scenario #1 (Pre-COVID)	Scenario #2 (Both Closed)	Scenario #3 (Main Closed)
2	Randolph Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
8	Main Street & Hutton Street	Signal Timing Optimization Recommended	n/a	
9	Main Street & Griswold Street	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	nmended
12	Cady Street & Center Street	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	All Way Stop Control Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.	Signal Recommended Delays for EB and WB Stop control approaches.
21	Fairbrook Street & Center Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.
22	Seven Mile Road & Wing Street / St. Lawrence	operations. Queue lengths w able to find g	ations indicates acceptable ere minimal and vehicles were gaps in traffic.	n/a
23	Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500-ft of storage length. is recommended.	n/a	Option 2: Widen the bridge/culvert across the Johnson Creek to provide a NB left-turn lane with 500- ft of storage length. is recommended.
24	Seven Mile Road & Hines Drive	Delays on the NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.	n/a	Delays on the WB and NB approach are due to impacts/queue lengths extending from Seven Mile Road & Sheldon Avenue / Center Street intersection.
26/ 27	Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road	Delays for WB Stop co	Signal Recommended ntrol approach, northbound left-t	urn sight distance limitations.
28	Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road	Sign	nal Timing Optimization Recor	nmended
32	Center Street & Proposed Beal Street	A review of network simulations indicates acceptable operations. Queue lengths were minimal and vehicles were able to find gaps in traffic.	n/a	n/a



## 9 RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the traffic study showed that **Scenario 2: Main St. & Center St. Closed** is the preferred roadway operations. The closures have reduced the volume of through traffic in the City of Northville generated from adjacent communities. However, the rerouting of traffic has impacted several intersections, therefore mitigation measures are recommended to accommodate those traffic volumes. The recommended mitigation measures below will improve the existing operations with Scenario 2 and will accommodate the additional site generated traffic volumes at site buildout in 2028. The results of the traffic improvements for Scenario 2 are summarized below.

# Scenario 2: Main St. & Center St. Closed

Randolph Street & Center Street

All Way Stop

Main Street & Griswold Street

 Signal Timing Optimization Cady Street & Center Street

All Way Stop

Northville Road & N. Seven Mile Road

 New Traffic Signal Northville Road & S. Seven Mile Road

 Signal Timing Optimization



FIGURE 9: INTERSECTION MITIGATION SUMMARY

